

Can We Talk? Just C. J.

Have you heard about the new anti-bullying program now being put in place in Michigan and other states? As usual, the press releases emanating out of Governor Granholm's office about the program are deceptive and misleading.

The issue isn't just about skinny, scrawny, buck-toothed Sylvester being bullied in the 7th grade boy's locker room by Big Bad Leroy Brown. The issue is also very much about Sylvester acting girlish and flirting with boys, not girls, and forbidding straight shooters to harass the little pervert. The same goes for girls who get caught smooching one another in the bathroom.

Kids have been bullied for all matter of things since Hector was a pup, and either learned to put up with it or fight back. However, not until the shootings at Columbine High School, when two boys went on a killing spree, did anyone decide there should be a school based anti-bullying program for kids. The boys' actions, however, may have been more likely caused by mental illness and drug-induced depression than alleged bullying by fellow students.

Certainly, there's no guarantee that a school anti-bully, social engineering program will stop kids from exacting revenge for anything, real or imagined, by shooting up classrooms and killing fellow students, teachers, and administrators.

So why is this nation-wide anti-bullying program for kids being initiated all over America?

The primary reason why is because the far left leaning wingnuts are implementing a global curriculum designed not just to get kids of all ages to protect the environment and save the Earth, but also to "diversify" the human race through a "love thy global neighborhood" acceptance of different cultures and lifestyles, including aberrational sexual preferences that produce less babies.

Although a Michigan law already existed in '02 that, to this day, deals with gross misdemeanor or persistent disobedience and physical assaults at school, the Michigan State Board of Education drew up a policy stating schools "should" implement anti-bullying programs.

However, since the Board can't require anything of schools, nothing much happened

until Ms. "I'm Growing Michigan's Economy" Granholm stepped up the pace in creating Michigan's Agenda 21 Village, which will eventually raise its children. Her "mentoring" program will also help this along, once accepted and then later stretched to cover all children.

In Granholm's March 17th weekly radio address, she said that a safe, bullying-free environment is critical to a world-class education. A bully-free school is critical mind you, not just good to have or needed, but critical!

Well, hey, lots of us suffered the slings and arrows of school day bullies, or were one ourselves, and a good many of us haven't fared too badly without a critical bully-free environment and world-class education, don't you think?

"Research in the Journal of the American Medical Association suggests that one out of every three – one of every three – in grades six through 10 is the victim of bullying," Granholm said. "While some school districts in Michigan have worked to address this issue, it's important to require that every district have policies in place to discourage bullying."

In her 2006 State of the State Address, Granholm called for tough new anti-bullying legislation and is urging the Legislature to quickly (she's always in a hurry, you know) pass anti-bullying legislation proposed by Senator Buzz Thomas, D-Detroit (SB-369), and Glenn Anderson, D-Westland (HB-5616).

Sen. Prussi co-sponsored the Senate bill and Rep. Adamini has co-sponsored the House bill.

The bills would require all school districts to train teachers to intervene and report bullying incidents, and establish disciplinary actions for students who violate anti-bullying policies, including possible criminal investigation.

The bills would also require all public schools to enact a ban on bullying or harassment of students "based on a pupil's actual or perceived religion, race, color, national origin, age, sex, sexual orientation, disability, height, weight, gender identity, socioeconomic status,

or any other distinguishing characteristic or is based on an association with another person who has or is perceived to have any of these characteristics". Sort of sounds like some could even get away with Devil worship and not be ridiculed by their peers, doesn't it?

According to an Oct. '05 report released by the Gay Lesbian Straight Education Network, being perceived as gay, lesbian, bisexual or transgender (GLBT) is the second-most cited reason why students are subjected to bullying.

The most common reason given was appearance. GLBT students were three times as likely as non-GLBT students to say they don't feel safe at school and 90% of GLBT students said they'd been harassed or assaulted that past year.

Ask yourself, whom is Michigan's anti-bullying legislation really meant to protect? Is it being implemented as a school tool to champion peer acceptance of newly pubescent and sexually active "out-of-the-closet" GLBT's so sexual deviants can enhance "human diversity" and their "quality of life", which most conservative Americans consider repulsive.

Yes, acceptance of the Gay-Lesbian-Bisexual-Transgender lifestyle is very much a part of education these days, with some schools using a handbook titled "Just the Facts About Sexual Orientation & Youth – A Primer for Principals, Educators, and School Personnel", endorsed by the National Education Association, et al.

The primer is disturbing in that it in no way encourages anyone involved in the child's long school day to dissuade him or her from going the way of the GLBT lifestyle. In fact, the primer gives every appearance of encouraging it, particularly when school personnel are trained to imply, "Well, hey, you might prefer sex with your own gender. If you try it and it makes you happy, have at it."

Now the GLBTs are taking this one step further by trying to get the peer harassment issue under control through anti-bullying legislation, which is supported by the Michigan Triangle Foundation and others.

The Triangle Foundation is the leading organization serving Michigan's gay, les-

bian, bisexual, transgender, and allied communities. It's Executive Director, Jeffrey Montgomery, was paid special tribute by Governor Granholm in May '03 when she called him a "hero and living legend" for his GLBT advocacy work.

Granholm romances the GLBT vote and gave Ferndale, the Gay Capitol of Michigan, a \$100,000 "Cool Cities" grant just in time for their annual Gay Pride festivities a couple years ago. In fact, Michigan's "Pride Source Media Group", which targets the emerging GLBT community, lauded Granholm as the GLBT's best friend/closest ally in state politics and for wining and dining them to ensure their vote in 2002, and all she had to do to earn it was by her actions while in office. (Levin and Stabenow were bf/ca runners-up.)

It's troublesome that high school Homosexual Clubs are popping up all over at an alarming rate. But when school districts, at a governor's urging, are being compelled through anti-bullying legislation not to dissuade impressionable youth from accepting or joining the Gay Parade, one need not ask why. It's all part of the agenda, folks, all part of the UN's Agenda 21.

In fact, many parents are unaware of the National Day of Silence, which will be held in many schools on April 26th of this year. The Day of Silence is a middle-school through university "student-led day of action where those who support making anti-GLBT bullying and harassment unacceptable in schools participate in school day events to recognize and protest the discrimination and harassment – in effect, the silencing – experienced by gay, lesbian, bisexual and transgender students and their allies. It also gives these people an opportunity to hand out pro-GLBT literature and make the parade longer.

Parents who don't want their children to witness or participate in the National Day of Silence should call their school and inquire about any such program for April 26th and either opt out, which could call adverse attention ... or just plan on their kids having a case of "something we ate for supper" stomach aches. ~ CJ

http://www.inform.umd.edu/EdRes/Topic/Diversity/Specific/Sexual_Orientation/Announcements/nysb.html Handbook Primer: Point/Counter Point

<http://www.apa.org/pi/lgbcfacts.pdf> Sexual Orientation & Youth – A Primer - <http://www.missionamerica.com/agenda.php?articlenum=12>

http://www.bullypolice.org/mi_law.html - http://qa1.org/trianglefoundation/alert-description.tcl?alert_id=2117215

<http://www.legislature.mi.gov/documents/2005-2006/billintroduced/Senate/htm/2006-SIB-1156.htm> - <http://www.legislature.mi.gov/documents/2005-2006/billintroduced/House/htm/2006-HIB-5616.htm> - http://www.stopseed.com/index.php?module=pagemaster&PAGE_user_op=view_page&PAGE_id=18

<http://www.glsen.org/cgi-bin/iowa/all/news/record/1859.html> - <http://www.dayofsilence.org/> - <http://www.tri.org/about/montgomery.html>

<http://www.safeplace.mtu.edu/08.html>

Right-to-Life of Michigan Misses the Mark

A proposal to amend the Michigan Constitution by adding new Sections 26 and 27 to Article 1 (or if Sections 26 and 27 of Article 1 already exist, then this proposal shall add new sections to Article 1 in the next available numeric order) to read as follows: Section 26: "The right to due process, whereby no person shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law, guaranteed in Article 1, Section 17, and the right to equal protection of the law, guaranteed in Article 1, Section 2, vest at conception." Section 27: "A 'person', for purposes of the Constitution and laws of the State of Michigan, exists from the moment of conception." This proposal is to be voted on at the November 7, 2006 Election.

Right-to-Life of Michigan has come out against the Amendment to the State Constitution: <http://rtl.org/>. The history of RTL-MI indicates their primary concerns are raising money and maintaining their job security over the life of an innocent child.

In only one area, the ectopic pregnancy where the egg fails to attach to the uterus, is there a concern. In this instance, the child will not survive and the mother will surely die if the pregnancy is not terminated. Under the new Amendment it would appear as if a court order would be required to save the mother. But, that isn't the focus of RTL-MI. They prefer to conjure up other areas of concern; visit their web site's "Fact Sheet".

Some of RTL-MI's arguments sound like

something right out of the Planned Parenthood attack manual. Waiting in the wings for the Supreme Court to have a majority of constructionists with a moral prowess will result in millions more children being killed before their birth. There are no God-Fearing people out here that think it important to wait for a court with a conscious.

The back-alley abortion delusions are creating a fear-laden argument that, in the event the USSC did overturn Roe v. Wade, it would happen anyway, so why wait? Perhaps a solution to that would be enactment of a law that the death of a child through abortion is 1st Degree Murder with malice and aforethought, not a botched procedure.

The obstruction and control that RTL-MI

has generated over the past years is noteworthy. They have no intent of allowing a pro-life majority in the Michigan House and Senate. In fact, they go out of their way to ensure any pro-life candidate opposing a pro-abortion incumbent is not given any endorsement consideration. Christians and other pro-life groups have counted on the RTL-MI newspaper with positions of the various candidates identified and either endorsed or not. US Senate candidate Jerry Zandstra is supporting the proposal and so is the Michigan GOP Party. It is time another organization other than RLT of Michigan take on the duties of canvassing candidates, analyzing surveys, and providing voters with a clear view of proposals and those who are running for office.

Michigan's Civil Rights Amendment Rounding Third and Heading Home

A PROPOSAL TO AMEND THE CONSTITUTION TO PROHIBIT THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN AND OTHER STATE UNIVERSITIES, THE STATE, AND ALL OTHER STATE ENTITIES FROM DISCRIMINATING OR GRANTING PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT BASED ON RACE, SEX, COLOR, ETHNICITY, OR NATIONAL ORIGIN.

THE PROPOSAL WOULD AMEND THE STATE CONSTITUTION BY ADDING A SECTION 25 TO ARTICLE I.

ARTICLE I, SECTION 25:
Civil Rights.

The University of Michigan, Michigan State University, Wayne State University, and any other public college or university, community college, or school district shall not discriminate against, or grant preferential treatment to, any individual or group on the basis of race, sex, color, ethnicity, or national origin in the operation of public employment, public education, or public contracting.

The state shall not discriminate against, or grant preferential treatment to, any individual or group on the basis of race, sex, color, ethnicity, or national origin in the operation of public employment, public education, or public contracting.

For the purposes of this section "state" includes, but is not necessarily limited to, the state itself, any city, county, any public college, university, or community college, school district, or other political subdivision or governmental instrumentality of or within the State of Michigan not included in sub-section 1.

This section does not prohibit action that must be taken to establish or maintain eligibility for any federal program, if ineligibility would result in a loss of federal funds to the state.

Nothing in this section shall be interpreted as prohibiting bona fide qualifications based on sex that are reasonably necessary

to the normal operation of public employment, public education, or public contracting.

The remedies available for violations of this section shall be the same, regardless of the injured party's race, sex, color, ethnicity, or national origin, as are otherwise available for violations of Michigan anti-discrimination law.

This section shall be self-executing. If any part or parts of this section are found to be in conflict with the United States Constitution or federal law, the section shall be implemented to the maximum extent that the United States Constitution and federal law permit. Any provision held invalid shall be severable from the remaining portions of this section.

This section applies only to action taken after the effective date of this section.

This section does not invalidate any court order or consent decree that is in force as of the effective date of this section.

The Proposal coddles the feds and clearly yields state sovereignty to the almighty federal dollars.

Unfortunately, what we don't see in the Proposal is an obligation of the public institutions of higher education and at all levels to ensure a balance of liberal and conservative faculty and staff.

The Proposal does not eliminate taxpayers' money from going to providing health care to the "Life Partner" or significant other.

The Proposal does not state that applicants and student applicants will be based solely on merit and shall have no identifying information about their race, sex, color, ethnicity, or national origin, only proof they are citizens of the US.

Generating preferential treatment or not, it is nobody's business when it comes to minority or other status, except perhaps veterans and citizens with a disability. We have to wonder where that color-blind society is that Martin Luther King spoke about.

Michigan Adopts Communist Ideologies, Including the Minimum Wage

2005 Senate Bill 318 (Prohibit employment for less than specified wage) (House Roll Call 188) Passed in the House (73 to 34) on March 14, 2006, to make it unlawful to pay a person an hourly wage that is less than \$6.95 beginning Oct. 1, 2006, \$7.15 beginning July 1, 2007 and \$7.40 beginning July 1, 2008 notwithstanding any voluntary agreement between the employer and employee. The current minimum wage level required for hourly employees is \$5.15 an hour. The bill would not index these levels to the cost of living. The bill would make Michigan low-skill or first-time workers covered by the law the fourth most expensive in the nation.

In the State House, 98% of the Democrats and 41% of the Republicans voted in favor of the new Minimum Wage. The victory for the leftwing communist ideology was 73 for - 34 opposed, and one Democrat not voting, which would have made it a 100% vote by the Democrats.

The Republicans handed Governor Granholm a wonderful chance for victory, which she well knew when she signed the bill that will go into effect one month before the November election and likely ensure her the low-income vote.

<http://www.michiganvotes.org/> - <http://www.michiganvotes.org/RollCall.aspx?ID=197218>

We here at the Yooper Scooper have received some very disturbing e-mail about the new minimum wage hike that will put Michigan above the National Minimum Wage Standard. There is a sure-fire guarantee that political heads will roll, as Michigan takes another step in driving small businesses out of business.

Except for very few red-blooded GOP representatives in Lansing, it has become far more difficult to discern a liberal Democrat from a moderate Republican at the state and national level. We just can't flip 'em over and see if they have

any testicles anymore.

Perhaps we at the Yooper Scooper should take this opportunity to conduct a comparative analysis between a liberal eunuch and a moderate pansy. There are no virtues between the two types of gutless politicians in our contrarian system.

An evolutionary separation of social strata will always continue. Only the rich will get richer, as the money earned by the middle class becomes less and less valuable. The Haves will always have. The minimum wage increase is over a year away, and we need to be asking why?

Chose or Lose By Jim Hafeman

Here is a rule-of-thumb for helping you identify a political platform: The more rightwing, the less government control in our lives—The more leftwing, the more government control in our lives. Those who teeter in the middle have no spine and are very unpredictable. Even worse is when a politician has a change in philosophy and bends toward the center.

We notice conservatives having a change of heart and jumping on board a liberal immigration philosophy, an increase in the minimum wage and numerous supportive measures initiated by environmentalists, big oil and pharmaceutical interests. The liberals have moderated a bit with their “support the troops, not the war” and let's protect the worker mantras.

Get used to it. In 2008 there will be a massive movement to the center because politicians don't yet understand what it is their constituency demands. As the conservatives move to the center, they lose their Christian base. As the liberals move to the center, they lose their godless and immoral base. What we don't see is true leadership on the right.

The liberals have many people to speak for them, a few examples are Kerry, Kennedy, Clinton, Pelosi, Durbin and Byrd. As an American society we are at the apex of resolve and can not afford to have politicians who work and legislate in the ambiguous gray area. It would be truly wonderful if the politicians would say what they stood for or against and stick to it.

Communists—Terrorists—Environmentalists; If it Quacks

What's the difference between communists, terrorists and environmentalists? No, seriously, what's the difference? Ok then, what do they all have in common? Most communists, terrorists and environmentalists are well represented in the United States by the Democrat Party. Every American should have already known, or at least suspected, that as being factual.

Points in common other than the Democrat Party are; they rule by instilling fear, demand full and unimpeded control, and they feel people aren't capable of making their own choices and decisions. People who are not of their sect need to be watched, incarcerated, or even murdered, if possible. Still don't get it? Ok, let's do the whole god thing as another example.

Communists, terrorists and environmental-

ists don't believe in God. Communists have no god, terrorists worship murder and mayhem, and environmentalists think the world is one huge living entity that needs to be nurtured and adored.

Perhaps cleanliness? Communists have no showers, terrorists won't shower, and environmentalists don't misuse water just to take a shower.

In the grand scheme of things, there is very little difference between the three domination-loving forces. All in all, what's intriguing is that Hollywood, the media, and Democrats embrace all these ideologies. But, what's really hilarious is that once any of these kooks visit their homes, they, too, will be watched, arrested or killed.

Here is a rule-of-thumb for helping you identify a political platform: The more rightwing, the less government control in our lives - the more leftwing, the more government control in our lives. Those who teeter in the middle have no spine and are very unpredictable. Even worse is when a politician has a change in philosophy and bends toward the center.

We notice conservatives having a change of heart and jumping on board a liberal immigration philosophy, an increase in the minimum wage, and numerous supportive measures initiated by environmentalists, big oil, and pharmaceutical interests. The liberals have moderated a bit with their “support the troops, not the war” and let's protect the worker mantras.

Get used to it. In 2008 there will be a

massive movement to the center because politicians don't yet understand what it is their constituency demands. As the conservatives move to the center, they lose their Christian base. As the liberals move to the center, they lose their godless and immoral base. What we don't see is true leadership on the right.

The liberals have many like Kerry, Kennedy, Clinton, Pelosi, Durbin and Byrd who speak for them. As an American society, we're at the apex of resolve and cannot afford to have politicians who work and legislate in the ambiguous gray area. It would be truly wonderful if the politicians would say what they stood for or against and stick to it. JP (Amen! Cj)

The Fallaci Code - Oriana Fallaci asks: Is Muslim immigration to Europe a conspiracy?

By BRENDAN BERNHARD—Wednesday, March 15, 2006 - 8:00 pm

In *The Force of Reason*, the controversial Italian journalist and novelist Oriana Fallaci illuminates one of the central enigmas of our time. How did Europe become home to an estimated 20 million Muslims in a mere three decades?

How did Islam go from being a virtual non-factor to a religion that threatens the preeminence of Christianity on the Continent? How could the most popular name for a baby boy in Brussels possibly be Mohammed? Can it really be true that Muslims plan to build a mosque in London that will hold 40,000 people? That Dutch cities like Amsterdam and Rotterdam are close to having Muslim majorities? How was Europe, which was saved by the U.S. in world wars I and II, and whose Muslim Bosnians were rescued by the U.S. as recently as 1999, transformed into a place in which, as Fallaci puts it, “if I hate Americans I go to Heaven and if I hate Muslims I go to Hell?”

In attempting to answer these questions, the author, who is stricken with cancer and has been hounded by death threats and charges of “Islamophobia” (she is due to go on trial in France this June), has combined history with snatches of riveting firsthand reportage into a form that reads like a real-life conspiracy thriller.

If *The Force of Reason* sells a lot of copies, which it almost certainly will (800,000 were sold in Italy alone, and the book is in the top 100 on Amazon), it will be not only because of the heat generated by her topic, but also because Fallaci speaks for the ordinary reader. There is no one she despises more than the intellectual “cicadas,” as she calls them — “You see them every day on television; you read them every day in the newspapers” — who deny they are in the midst of a cultural, political and existential war with Islam, of which terrorism is the flashiest, but ultimately least important component. Nonetheless, to give the reader a taste of what Muslim conquest can be like, in her first chapter, Fallaci provides a brief tour of the religion’s bloodiest imperial episodes and later does an amusing job of debunking some of its more exaggerated claims to cultural and scientific greatness.

The book is also animated by a world-class journalist’s dismay that she could have missed the story of her lifetime for as long as she did. In the 1960s and ’70s, when she was a Vietnam War correspondent and a legendarily ferocious interviewer — going mano a mano with the likes of

Henry Kissinger and Yasser Arafat, Fallaci was simply too preoccupied with the events of the moment to notice that an entirely different narrative was rapidly taking shape — namely, the transformation of the West. There were clues, certainly. As when, in 1972, she interviewed the Palestinian terrorist George Habash, who told her (while a body-guard aimed a submachine gun at her head) that the Palestinian problem was about far more than Israel. The Arab goal, Habash declared, was to wage war “against Europe and America” and to ensure that henceforth “there would be no peace for the West.” The Arabs, he informed her, would “advance step by step. Millimeter by millimeter. Year after year. Decade after decade. Determined, stubborn, patient. This is our strategy. A strategy that we shall expand throughout the whole planet.”

Fallaci thought he was referring simply to terrorism. Only later did she realize that he “also meant the cultural war, the demographic war, the religious war waged by stealing a country from its citizens ... In short, the war waged through immigration, fertility, presumed pluriculturalism.” It is a low-level but deadly war that extends across the planet, as any newspaper reader can see.

Fallaci is not the first person to ponder the rapidity of the ongoing Muslim transformation of Europe. As the English travel writer Jonathan Raban wrote in *Arabia: A Journey Through the Labyrinth* (1979), in the mid-1970s Arabs seemed to arrive in London almost overnight. “One day Arabs were a remote people ... camping out in tents with camels ... the next, they were neighbors.” On the streets of West London appeared black-clad women adorned with beaked masks that made them look “like hooded falcons.” Dressed for the desert (and walking precisely four steps ahead of the women), Arab men bestrode the sidewalks “like a crew of escaped film extras, their headdresses aswirl on the wind of exhaust fumes.”

Writers far better acquainted with the Muslim world than Raban have been equally perplexed. In 1995, the late American novelist Paul Bowles, a longtime resident of Tangier, told me that he could not understand why

the French had allowed millions of North African Muslims into their country. Bowles had chosen to live among Muslims for most of his life, yet he obviously considered it highly unlikely that so many of them could be successfully integrated into a modern, secular European state.

Perhaps Bowles would have been interested in this passage from Fallaci’s book: “In 1974 [Algerian President] Boumedienne, the man who ousted Ben Bella three years after Algerian independence, spoke before the General Assembly of the United Nations. And without circumlocutions he said: ‘One day millions of men will leave the southern hemisphere of this planet to burst into the northern one. But not as friends. Because they will burst in to conquer, and they will conquer by populating it with their children. Victory will come to us from the wombs of our women.’”

Such a bald statement of purpose by a nation’s president before an international forum seems incredible. Yet even in British journalist Adam LeBor’s *A Heart Turned East* (1997), a work of profound, almost supine sympathy for the plight of Muslim immigrants in the West, a London-based mullah is quoted as saying, “We cannot conquer these people with tanks and troops, so we have got to overcome them by force of numbers.” In fact, such remarks are commonplace. Just this week, Mullah Krekar, a Muslim supremacist living in Oslo, informed the [Norwegian newspaper Aftenposten](#) that Muslims would change Norway, not the other way around. “Just look at the development within Europe, where the number of Muslims is expanding like mosquitoes,” he said. “By 2050, 30 percent of the population in Europe will be Muslim.”

In other words, Europe will be conquered by being turned into “Eurabia,” which is what Fallaci believes it is well on the way to becoming. Leaning heavily on the researches of Bat Ye’or, author of *Eurabia: The Euro-Arab Axis*, Fallaci recounts in fascinating detail the actual origin of the word “Eurabia,” which has now entered the popular lexicon. Its first known use, it turns out, was in the mid-1970s, when a journal of that name was printed in Paris (naturally), written in French (naturally), and edited by one Lucien Bitterlin, then president of the Association of Franco-Arab Solidarity and

currently the Chairman of the French-Syrian Friendship Association. Eurabia (price, five francs) was jointly published by Middle East International (London), France-Pays Arabes (Paris), the Groupe d’Etudes sur le Moyen-Orient (Geneva) and the European Coordinating Committee of the Associations for Friendship with the Arab World, which Fallaci describes as an arm of what was then the European Economic Community, now the European Union. These entities, Fallaci says, not mincing her words, were the official perpetrators “of the biggest conspiracy that modern history has created,” and Eurabia was their house organ.

Briefly put, the alleged plot was an arrangement between European and Arab governments according to which the Europeans, still reeling from the first acts of PLO terrorism and eager for precious Arabian oil made significantly more precious by the 1973 OPEC crisis, agreed to accept Arab “manpower” (i.e., immigrants) along with the oil. They also agreed to disseminate propaganda about the glories of Islamic civilization, provide Arab states with weaponry, side with them against Israel and generally tow the Arab line on all matters political and cultural. Hundreds of meetings and seminars were held as part of the “Euro-Arab Dialogue,” and all, according to the author, were marked by European acquiescence to Arab requests. Fallaci recounts a 1977 seminar in Venice, attended by delegates from 10 Arab nations and eight European ones, concluding with a unanimous resolution calling for “the diffusion of the Arabic language” and affirming “the superiority of Arab culture.”

While the Arabs demanded that Europeans respect the religious, political and human rights of Arabs in the West, not a peep came from the Europeans about the absence of freedom in the Arab world, not to mention the abhorrent treatment of women and other minorities in countries like Saudi Arabia. No demand was made that Muslims should learn about the glories of western civilization as Europeans were and are expected to learn about the greatness of Islamic civilization. In other words, according to Fallaci, a substantial portion of Europe’s cultural and political independence was sold off by a coalition of ex-communists and socialist politicians. Are we surprised? Fallaci isn’t. In 1979, she notes, “the Italian or (Continued on page 4)

The Fallaci Code (Continued from page 3)

rather European Left had fallen in love with Khomeini just as now it has fallen in love with Bin Laden and Saddam Hussein and Arafat.”

Considerably less intemperate than her last book on the topic of radical Islam, the volcanically angry *The Rage and the Pride*, *The Force of Reason* is despairing, but often surprisingly funny. (“The rage and the pride have married and produced a sturdy son: the disdain,” she writes with characteristic wit.) And, Fallaci being Fallaci, it is occasionally over the top and will no doubt be deeply offensive to many, particularly when, in a postscript the book might have been better off without, she claims that there is no such thing as moder-

ate Islam. Nonetheless, the voice and warmth and humor of the author light up its pages, particularly when she takes a leaf out of Saul Bellow’s Herzog by firing off impassioned letters to the famous both living and dead. She is savage about the Left, the “Peace” movement (war is a fundamental, if regrettable, condition of life, she states), the Catholic Church, the media and, of course, Islam itself, which she considers theological totalitarianism and a deadly threat to the world. She is much more optimistic about America than Europe, citing the bravery of New Yorkers who celebrated New Year’s Eve in Times Square despite widely publicized terrorism threats,

but here one feels that she is clutching at straws. Though Fallaci now lives in New York, little amity has been extended to her by her peers since the post-9/11 publication of *The Rage and the Pride*, and she remains almost as much of a media pariah here as she does in Europe. The major difference is that we’re not putting her on trial.

As that Norwegian Mullah told *Aftenposten*, “Our way of thinking ... will prove more powerful than yours.” One hopes he’s wrong, but if he is, it will be ordinary Americans and Europeans, including courageous Arab-Americans like L.A. resident Wafa Sultan and the Somali-born Dutch politician Ayaan Hirsi Ali (two women openly challenging Islamist

supremacism), who prove him so, and not our intellectual classes (artists, pundits, filmmakers, actors, writers ...). Many of the latter, consumed by Bush-hatred and cultural self-loathing, are perilously close to becoming today’s equivalent of the great Norwegian novelist Knut Hamsun, who so hated the British Empire that he sided with the Nazis in World War II, to his everlasting shame. *The Force of Reason*, at the very least, is a welcome and necessary antidote to the prevailing intellectual atmosphere.

Staff writer Brendan Bernhard is the author of *White Muslim*: >From L.A. to New York to Jihad, a study of converts to Islam in the West (Melville House).

Save the Planet – Kill the People By C. J. Williams

Human happiness, and certainly human fecundity, is not as important as a wild and healthy planet... Some of us can only hope for the right virus to come along. – David Graber, biologist, National Park Service

If I were reincarnated, I would wish to be returned to Earth as a killer virus to lower human population levels. –Prince Phillip, World Wildlife Fund

While there are aspects of Agenda 21 and the Wildlands Proect so repugnant to sane minds that any rational person would become nauseous and sick at heart learning of them, one of the most hideous pieces of information surfaced a few days ago via e-mail message to the network of eco-watchers.

The message was long, but I’ll synopsize it. And, should readers not be sufficiently convinced that those tracking the rabid environmental movement are not all a paranoid schizophrenic bunch of conspiracy theory dingbats, a link to part of the message is among those below.

During a session of the 109th meeting of the Texas Academy of Science held at Lamar University in Beaumont, Texas on March 3-5, 2006, an official of the Academy spoke animatedly with a video camera operator who was recording the session. The camera operator didn’t look happy, but he turned the lens to the ceiling and walked away.

Once the recording was stopped, Dr. Eric R. Pianka, a University of Texas evolutionary ecologist and lizard expert named as the 2006 Distinguished Texas Scientist by the Academy, took the podium.

When the man opened by saying the general public wasn’t ready to hear what he was about to say, Forrest M. Mims III, chairman of the Academy’s Environmental Science Section, had the presence of mind to write down what Pianka told the audience.

Those words became an article by Mims titled “Meeting Dr. Doom”, which, in part, reads: “I watched in amazement as a few hundred members of the Texas Academy of Science rose to their feet and gave a standing ovation to a speech that enthusiastically advocated the elimination of 90 percent of the Earth’s population by airborne Ebola.”

According to Mims, Pianka condemned the idea that people occupy a privileged position in the Universe, and he emphasized his point by exclaiming, “We’re no better than bacteria!”

He told the audience of his concern about human overpopulation and how he believed it was ruining the Earth. He warned that quick steps must be taken to restore the planet before it’s too late.

After more insanity masked as sensibility, and without presenting data to justify his claim, Pianka declared that the only feasible way to save Earth is to reduce the population to 10 percent of its present number.

Disease, he claimed, would be the most efficient and fastest way to kill billions in order to prevent a population crisis.

So how does the environmental eco-Nazi propose this be done? Well, he did talk about an airborne Ebola virus because, according to him, it would be highly lethal and kills in days, not years.

“We’ve got airborne 90 percent mortality in humans,” Mims claimed he said. “Killing humans. Think about that.”

He didn’t, however, tell his audience that the virus sets off a series of nasty symptoms, followed by massive internal and external bleeding, chest pain, and shock, causing Ebola victims to die a very slow and horrible

death. And, though Ebola isn’t an airborne virus, that’s not to say it can’t morph into one, with or without the help of a mad scientist.

During a question and answer session after he finished formally spewing forth his swill, Dr. Doom said that other diseases are also efficient killers. The audience laughed, Mims wrote, when Pianka said, “You know, the bird flu’s good, too.” And they laughed again when he gleefully said, “We need to sterilize everybody on Earth.”

When the questioning was over, almost every eco-green scientist, professor and college student present stood and enthusiastically applauded the man who had happily and fervently championed the killing of 90 percent of the people on Earth. Some fools even cheered!

Later, Dr. Doom was given a plaque recognizing him as the Texas Academy of Science’s 2006 Distinguished Scientist, and when 400 people in the hall responded with enthusiastic applause, Forrest Mims walked out.

Pianka, and educated fools like him, are lecturing on American campuses, denigrating the value of human life and advocating the killing of millions of people to hundreds of students!

There are some now pooh-pooing the words Mim’s recorded on paper, claiming he misconstrued what Pianka said. However, regardless of whether or not he openly said he wanted to kill people with Ebola or some other virus, the idea of doing so to save Earth was planted, and young minds are impressionable.

From what’s known about the goings-on in early-ed through secondary class-

rooms, kids as young as 3 and 4 are being programmed to worship Mother Nature’s planet; to believe people are destroying it. Their minds are being engineered to disregard what mommy and daddy say, and, instead, believe and do what their peers agree is right.

Love for family, God, and Country no longer has a place in their academic world because what’s learned at home and the right to think for one’s self is torn apart by a global curriculum that promotes going along with the group, but not faithfulness to long established laws of God and man.

In Pianka’s case, students praise both him and his ideas when filling out in-class evaluations, writing such comments that his is “the most incredible class I’ve ever had” and “Pianka is a GOD!”

Ask yourself if one of these “hero-worshippers” could be the budding mentally deficient professional biologist or physician with access to extremely deadly strains of viruses and bacteria.

Be afraid, people. Be very afraid! But, if you don’t want to live in fear of your life and your loved ones lives for what little may be left of your life, please at least have the courage to take a stand and demand that your elected senators and representatives STOP the insanity of the environmental movement immediately.

While some readers may believe that the “meek shall inherit the Earth”, it soon well may be that the only earth the meek Sheeple will inherit is that which is piled six feet high on top on them.

You see, Pianka is far from being the only madman who believes most people must die so the Earth may be saved, or that...

“The optimum human population of earth is zero.” - Dave Forman, founder and chairman of the Wildlands Project

Pre-Call To Action Alert: "Invasive Species" By Unknown Author

Some bills are going to hit the United States Senate this year that, on the surface, sound like good ideas...until you start to dig deeper into the proposed legislation.

The biggest problem with these bills is the "Invasive Species" language they contain and the definition of the term "Invasive Species." Again, on the surface, elimination of "Invasive Species" may sound like an important initiative...until you see that "Invasive Species" is defined as "a species that is

1. non-native (or alien) to the ecosystem under consideration and
2. whose introduction causes or is likely to cause economic or environmental harm or harm to human health.

"Invasive Species" can be plants, animals, and other organisms (e.g. microbes). Human actions are the primary means of invasive species introductions."

Even this doesn't sound so bad, until you learn that "non-native" means anything that wasn't here in 1492 when Columbus came. The lists of plants and animals that fall into that category are long and shocking! Brown trout, rainbow trout, sea bass, large mouth bass, pheasants, and chukkas are targeted.

It also shifts the focus away from the need to control all pests, regardless of origin. In addition, elimination of an "Invasive Species" cannot be done without drastic measures such as massive chemical spraying and the negative effects of fully removing a species from an ecosystem are not being considered. Further information on the serious problems of this definition is included below.

Since these bills are active in the Senate there won't be much time for the people in the communities who will be negatively impacted by these bills to react. That is why I am contacting you now. I am hoping that we will be able to pull together and mobilize now so that when the time comes for us to contact our senators and demand the removal of all "Invasive Species" language from these bills we will be ready.

Following the segment on the flaws in the definition is some additional reading. You are also welcome to contact me directly if you have further questions. Thank you for your time, and hopefully your support as we stand strong to protect our environment and our rights.

Serious problems with the official "Invasive Species" definition:

Obviously controlling species that are causing serious damage to our environment must be done. And at first glance the Invasive Species movement may

seem like the way. However, when examining the definition behind the "Invasive Species" movement the flaws and gaps in logic become readily apparent.

The non-native definition shifts the focus away from the need to control all pests, regardless of origin. It also blacklists beneficial non-native plants and animals. In addition, according to University of Maryland scholar Dr. Mark Sagoff, ecologists have to conduct paleoecological studies and other historical research to determine which species are non-native. They cannot tell by examining the current state of an ecosystem.

"Likely to cause environmental harm" is not measurable, has no scientific definition, and is completely subjective.

There is no process defined for determining the environmental impact of plants, animals, and other organisms. In fact, small groups of bureaucrats are able to add items to the nationwide blacklist for plants and animals.

State by state variations in plant and animal concerns are not taken into consideration.

Other things that aren't apparent in the definition are:

The groups behind this movement want to take the U.S. environment back to pre-1492 conditions by eliminating plants and animals that were not here at that time. This would mean ignoring over 500 years of scientific progress.

"Invasive Species" is now an industry in itself, with over \$1 Billion being spent by the Federal government alone, most of it in the form of grants, slick publications, and bureaucracy-building.

Further Reading

Problems with the Upcoming U.S.

Senate Bills

The three bills that will most likely hit the U.S. Senate this year are:

- S.770 the "National Aquatics Invasive Species Act of 2005"
- S.363 the "Ballast Water Management Act of 2005"
- S.1541 the "Public Land Protection and Conservation Act of 2005"

Problems with S.770: National Aquatics Invasive Species Act of 2005

- \$150 Million to fund "Invasive Species" projects:
 - o Monitoring
 - o Rapid Response and management plans
 - o Research as precursors to regulation
 - o Impossible screening models
- "Aquatic Ecosystems" is defined to include wetlands making it not just about e. coli, fish and zebra mussels but also about seasonal potholes, creeks, sloughs, and riparian areas (the banks of creeks and streams)

Embodies the Precautionary Principle, under its "screening" sections, "nonnative organisms" must be "proven" to **not** cause harm. It further expands the existing definition by adding "structure and functions of ecosystems" and "public welfare" to the criteria for "harm"

Its definitions provide for regulation of domestic transfer of species from one "ecosystem" to another

Grants are established for "systematic and taxonomic" research to satisfy government "needs to identify Invasive Species."

Renders Congressional oversight impossible...the 20+ Federal agencies with "Invasive Species" interests will be further empowered

\$30 Million is authorized annually for "Invasive Species" grants

Most of the money will go to State bureaucracies and Non-Governmental Organizations operating in favored States, primarily the vicerich Great Lakes States

Problems with S.363: Ballast Water Management Act of 2005

The bureaucracies and groups behind the "Invasive Species" movement consider any "nonnative species" to cause "harm to the environment" by its mere presence

The "adverse impact" clauses and the authority to "designate additional regulated areas" gives virtually unlimited power to these groups and the bureaucracies

Mission creep will most certainly include boat hulls, wading boots, and trailers

This bill impacts commercial shipping, commerce, jobs, commercial sport fishing, and through stricter, more costly ballast regulations

Problems with S.1541: Public Land and Conservation Act of 2005

Despite its deceptive title this is in fact a stand-alone "Invasive Species" bill

It codifies the bogus, pre-1492 "native ecosystem" "Invasive Species" concept into law

\$250 Million in grants for "Invasive Species" activities

Paves the way for a new, permanent, stand-alone Federal authority (bureaucracy) where, to date, non exists by law

Additional Background Information

Over \$1 Billion per year is currently spent by 20+ Federal agencies on more than 192 "Invasive Species" programs

In the 7 years since Clinton issued the "Invasive Species" Executive Order approximately \$7 Billion has already been spent, most of it on manufacturing "Invasive Species" as a problem and trying to sell it to the U.S. public through:

Bureaucracy building

Brochures

Meetings

Plans

Studies

Grants

Our land, water and air are not cleaner now that they were in 1999 through any "Invasive Species" program

Codifying "Invasive Species" and further funding it via the bills listed above or any other "Invasive Species" bills mocks common sense.

Chemtrails Over The Copper Country

By C. J. Williams

Even evil men and women have their own social order. Fools, all, they seem to think that by doing the Devil's bidding on Earth, God will come to their rescue at the Pearly Gates. However, I don't expect to see any of them playing a harp in heaven, do you?

The Earth may be warming, but it's not caused by Sheeple who hunt, fish, trap, and otherwise recreate with smelly old gas motors that propel wheels, tracks, or boats. It's not caused by families plodding through the woods with five little kids in tow looking for ripe berries to make into jam for their wintertime toast. It's not even caused by factories spewing exhaust into the atmosphere.

If truth were told, Earth's warming is likely caused, in great part, because eco-twit researchers and experimenters have been jerking Mother Nature around for so long that everything's coming unglued. Real conservationists know it's not nice to fool Mother Nature, but apparently the eco-twits slept through the Common Sense course of study.

They've been messing with rivers, lakes, oceans, volcanoes, fault lines, forests, farmland, wetland, crops, garden seed, livestock, wildlife, insects, reptiles, fish, vegetation, fire, weather, atmosphere, outer space, human beings, the earth's core, and the natural order of things for so long now that, no doubt, Mother Nature has had a belly full of their environmental engineering.

Have you looked up and noticed jets making mile's-long, thick ribbons of white across the sky? If you have, I've news for you. Those aren't normal contrails that disappear within fifteen minutes or so. Instead, they're chemtrails that last for hours.

Though many people have been asked, none able to enlighten us about what chemtrails contain and why they're being laid overhead will spill their guts to the great-unwashed Yooper sheeple, or sheeple in Lower Michigan, Wisconsin, Ohio, etc., ad nauseum.

Yours truly has been taking pictures of the ugly chemtrail monsters in the sky over Prickett Dam for several months now. I first started paying

attention to them in my little bit of sky last October, but have seen them other places, including in a huge grid pattern near Milwaukee last May. There've been many directly overhead and others not far away.

One, laid directly over my house on January 12th, caused the new batteries in my digital camera to suddenly go completely dead when I foolishly went out into the driveway and pointed the lens upward.

Within a very short time, I could taste metal and my nose was running like a river. Within two hours, I experienced uncomfortable sinus pressure and a sensation like something was pinching the bridge of my nose. Things became worse four hours later when I had such a pressure headache I thought my brain would explode.

Since learning not to stand directly under chemtrail fallout, I take pictures from a safe place or go out into the yard when they're being spread further away. Yet I often experience a peppery, stinging sensation in my nose, which drips incessantly rather than flows. Drainage in the back of my throat is also another indicator that I've inhaled whatever it is they've (whoever they are) added to the aluminum oxide, barium oxide, titanium, and magnesium said to be in chemtrails, along with nanotechnology fibers and other substances that are harmful to humans.

Like me, many friends and family members complain of persistent coughs, congestion, respiratory problems, and constant sinus drainage or pressure, with some saying they feel much better when they're away from the Copper Country for a few days.

On most days, chemtrails, painted for miles and miles across the sky, will spread out and join others laid elsewhere that have also spread and drifted into the area. Other times, they'll remain intact and drift off according to which ever way the wind is blowing.

On Sunday, March 5th, the sun was almost obliterated behind a murky, bluish-gray haze that had spread out earlier that morning. Regardless, a new trail was laid through it, bigger

than Stuttgart, right across the sky. And, though it made for an awesome picture, it's an awe I'm sure I could live without, as could anyone caught outside under the gunk that falls from these things.

Truly fascinating was a chemtrail that two others and I watched drift in for quite some time before noticing that its southeast tail suddenly looked different. We rushed outside and saw the chemtrail formation exploding toward the other end, with finger-like tentacles rolling outward before our eyes. It was almost like watching fireworks, as we oohed and aahed with our necks stretched upward and turned to see it all.

The show may have been caused by microwave energy activity related to the High Frequency Auroral Research Program (HAARP) Observatory built on a Department of Defense owned site near Gakona, Alaska, but nobody is talking about that, either.

It's surmised that HAARP can generate artificial ozone, overload electricity networks and cause blackouts, cause or disperse a typhoon or other extreme climatic weather phenomena, and even modify human behavior

Certainly, whatever it is that's going on in the sky, you can bet it's not good or else those who must know what's going on, and who've been contacted for answers, would be singing like canaries.

Since they apparently won't, we'll have to draw our own conclusions and assume that chemicals, and whatever else the jet trails contain, won't make us as healthy as the aspenFACE area near Rhinelander, Wisconsin that MTU and University of Wisconsin researchers, and others, are tinkering with.

Folks around the country who've been monitoring chemtrails for several years have postulated all sorts of theories. Some believe that, along



with things that keep chemtrails from quickly dissipating, watered down by-products of chemical and biological warfare weapons are added and are being disposed of over wilderness areas. Anthrax is one such diluted byproduct mentioned.

Others suggest that various areas of the country are being used as large experimental plots where all sorts of research is being carried out by environmental engineers in tandem with Department of Defense and/or Department of Energy research programs funded with millions of grant dollars to universities.

This would make sense, in that new technologies are being developed by bright college students who research to earn a grade, but come up with cutting edge technology that can be used by corporations and/or government agencies. Just imagine all the nanotechnology, biological and chemical warfare technology, space technology, bio-med technology, and environmental engineering technology that highly educated Sheeple children are developing for pennies on a dollar!

However, to quote Nick Begich, the co-author of "Angels Don't Play This HAARP"... "As technology advances, the ability to control populations and manipulate outcomes also advances. Because we know how to control the weather, create earthquakes, force behavioral changes and manipulate the physiology of people does not mean that we should do it. The age we are in requires even greater safeguards of personal freedoms, not further constraints upon it. If freedom is what is being defended, then freedom is what must be inherent in the actions our governments take in creating aspects of our reality."

<http://www.weatherwars.info/index.php> - <http://aspenface.mtu.edu/> - <http://www.mit.edu/~goodmanj/madsci/912459344.En.r.html>

<http://www.haarp.alaska.edu/> - <http://www.chemtrails.ch/dokumentationen/The-HAARP-of-Climate.htm>

<http://www.brojon.org/frontpage/bj1203.html> - <http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/0964881209/paranortalkra-20/102-3942758-3197718>

U. P. PATRIOTS

DISCLAIMER—KOA STATEMENT

The Yooper Scooper is a private newsletter to be exchanged among friends and like-minded individuals via the internet or through a hard copy printed at personal expense. Even though Democrats can campaign from the pulpit while Catholic Priests have to remain silent with threats of being removed from their non-profit status by the Democrats, the freedom of speech still has meaning and relevance among the people. We would like to take this opportunity to stress that if you take offense to the content of this newsletter you are probably indeed a descendent from monkeys. As for the rest of us, we hold the truths of God, Creationism, Free Will, Ten Commandments and the Constitution close to our hearts and within our souls. ~ J. Powers, Editor

Contact us:

yoopscoop@yahoo.com



Letter: Bipartisanship won't solve economic woes By Don Curran, Escanaba

The [Escanaba] Daily Press made some good points in its editorial on the Single Business Tax kerfuffle ("Business tax debate: politics of change," March 25). Unfortunately, I must disagree with your call for bipartisanship on this issue.

Anyone who has studied the history of this odious tax can tell you that it was bipartisanship that got us into this mess to begin with. Much "credit" for this very bad idea can be laid at the feet of former state Rep. (and later Congressman) Bob Davis and quintessential RINO (Republican In Name Only) and former Gov. Bill Milliken.

Thanks to the old GOP's strategy of winning elections by catering to the big unions, we now have a tax that rises as a business' payroll rises. And we wonder why no one wants to manufacture anything in Michigan? In fact, so pernicious is the SBT that a company can lose money and still have a state tax liability!

What started out as a way to milk the then-prosperous auto industry has led to an exodus of auto jobs from this state. While Granholm and her acolytes claim that these jobs have been "outsourced" to other countries by the mean old Republicans, the real truth is that foreign auto manufacturers have

created plenty of American jobs — just not in Michigan.

No, what we need right now in not bipartisanship, but a healthy dose of good old-fashioned PARTISANSHIP! The kind of partisanship that prompted state Rep. Tom Casperson to bolt from his weak-kneed Republican colleagues and oppose that insane increase in the minimum wage. Will someone tell me how increasing the cost of employment will create jobs in a state with the second-highest unemployment rate in the country?

Listening to career politicians and unionists like Steve Adamini and

Mike Prusi blather on about the wonderful benefits of a minimum wage increase is enough to make one retch. It's pretty clear that neither of these men — nor their leader in the statehouse — has ever had to meet a real payroll.

When former Gov. Jim Blanchard failed to straighten out the state's economy after four years, we sent him packing in favor of a solid conservative. It's time Jennifer Granholm and her Democratic colleagues met the same fate

Editor's Note: Don Curran has been in radio for a generation and can be heard on the local airwaves on WGKL in Escanaba from 0600—0900.