



NATIONAL DEFENDER

A Transcendental Forum for Unobstructed Opinions and Observations

"We will walk on our own feet; we will work with our own hands; we will speak our own minds ...
A nation of men will for the first time exist, because each believes himself inspired by the Divine Soul which also inspires all men." Ralph Waldo Emerson



DEMOCRATS EMERGE UNSCATHED

Whew! That was a bit tense for a while but with the help of the media the Democrats will no longer face criminal charges. Democrats are now able to concentrate on investigating former President George W. Bush.

In the midst of a world-wide economic crisis, the coronation of B. Hussein Obama cost a mere \$170 million—\$1.24 million just for the botched swearing-in ceremony. But there-in lies the real personality of the Democrats; your pain isn't out pain. As most Americans lost nearly 50% of their retirement portfolios, some losing almost all of it, the Democrats have prioritized bailing-out banks, credit card companies and mortgage companies.

As Americans who have invested in their future remain virtually stunned, those who have no real sense of ownership or investment in the nation, but a great sense of entitlement, are so elated with the new President they get a tingle running up their leg.

But what about the working-class citizen? The ones that do their best to earn a living, pay their taxes and just want to be left alone? Well, it looks like their screwed, especially pro-life citizens.

As the nation's economy teeters on the edge of implosion and the world economy falters, the priorities of the Democrats is to provide taxpayer money to fund abortions and birth control in other countries. They also want to introduce the captured terrorists to American soil and of course the American justice system.

The principle players in the economic collapse, particularly those on the banking committees of both houses, are now held harmless thanks to the 69,456,897 voters who voted for Obama and the countless voters who returned the same inept representatives to Congress.



Above: 1969—Below: 2009



While average citizens are facing audits by the IRS, more than likely because they're Republicans or had challenged the policies of the Democrats, Obama is able to nominate a Tim Geithner who didn't even bother paying his payroll taxes. His taxes alone came in to the tune of \$38,000, not including the previous liabilities that he paid the IRS for 2003 and 2004 tax years.

<http://voices.kansascity.com/node/3307>

The Treasury Secretary nominee was of course defended by the Democrats who suggested he was too valuable and intelligent to dismiss due to his minor failings.

Even federal or state employees who violated laws the way the members of Congress and the numerous nominees, including Obama himself, would end up walking the plank. Protectionism is alive and well among the Democrats.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MGT_csi7Rs

So whose going to prison? Well, let's see. Scooter Libby, who did nothing illegal went to jail because he didn't know the facts regarding an incident that turned out to be a non-crime. A guy named Bernard Madoff will probably be carted off by the feds for bilking billions of dollars from wealthy investors. Congress bilked investors out of trillions of dollars and destroyed millions of portfolios, but they made themselves immune.

The premiere problem is that Congress has vested itself with the authority to not only investigate itself, but to delve out punishment. When the Attorney General entered the office of a congressman a few years back all hell broke loose. Evidently what's in the offices of members of congress is not a matter of public record or even a matter of public scrutiny by those who are entrusted to protect our interests and who are required to act in the "sunshine".

We should enjoy such immunities regarding our e-mails, letters and even our garbage. Only the people are subject to the laws written by those in the legislators around the country. But, as always, we get that for which we vote.

Obama Snubs Nation's Heroes, Becomes the First President to Skip Ball Honoring Medal of Honor Recipients in Over 50 Years <http://www.clevelandleader.com/node/8627>

Barack Obama may have stumbled over his words briefly during his inauguration, but he made an even bigger blunder later Tuesday evening. The newly sworn-in President [opted not to appear](#) at what should have been one of the most important Balls on his agenda that evening - The Salute to Heroes Inaugural Ball.

The Salute to Heroes Inaugural Ball was begun in 1953 for President Dwight Eisenhower's inauguration. The event recognized recipients of the Medal of Honor, the nation's highest military award. There were 48 Medal of Honor recipients in attendance, who were undoubtedly disappointed by the Commander-in-Chief's failure to show. Over the past 56 years and 14 inaugurations, no President has skipped this event - until now.

The Salute to Heroes Inaugural Ball is sponsored by the American Legion, and co-sponsored by 13 other veteran's service organizations, including those such as the Paralyzed Veterans of America and the Military Order of the Purple Heart.

Instead of attending this ball honoring our nation's heroes, Obama was busy making stops at 10 other official balls. Obama and his wife's first stop was at the Neighborhood Ball. From there they went to the Home State Ball for Illinois and Hawaii, the Commander-in-Chief Ball, the Youth Inaugural Ball, and the Home State Ball for Delaware and Pennsylvania. They finished off the night with brief appearances at the Mid-Atlantic, Western, Midwest, Eastern, and

Southern regional Balls.

Celebrities were a plenty at the balls, with Stevie Wonder, Shakira, Mary. J. Blige, Faith Hill, Jay-Z, Alicia Keys, Adam Levine, will.i.am, Sting, Mariah Carey, and Leonardo DiCaprio in attendance at the Neighborhood Ball. In addition, the other nine balls also featured a star-studded lineup including Kanye West and Kid Rock at the Youth Ball, Marc Anthony at the Western Ball, and Cheryl Crow at the Western Ball.

It was the party without all of the celebrities that Obama skipped. The very people who he sought to have support him during his candidacy and campaign, who have fought to protect this country, were

snubbed in favor of publicity and the opportunity to rub shoulders - yet again - with the out-of-touch Hollywood elite.

2005 Salute to Heroes Inaugural Ball
<http://www.defenselink.mil/news/newsarticle.aspx?id=24307>



33 Minutes: All Our Enemies Need to Change America as We Know It Kim R. Holmes

33 minutes—about the time it takes to get a pizza delivered—is all it takes for a nuclear-tipped ballistic missile to travel halfway around the world and obliterate an American city. In less time, if detonated high above the homeland, its Electromagnetic Pulse would incapacitate everything from ATM and hospital machines to traffic lights and computers for thousands of miles. Life would never be the same.

This is not science-fiction. Recently, the Commission on the Prevention of Weapons of Mass Destruction, Proliferation, and Terrorism said, "Terrorists are determined to attack us again—with weapons of mass destruction if they can." The Commission to Assess the Threat to the United States from Electromagnetic Pulse Attack reported in 2004 that an attack from a nuclear-tipped ballistic missile would have "catastrophic consequences," citing effects like those above felt in Hawaii after a test 1400 kilometers away in 1962.

Amazingly, some pundits say the threat of such attacks is farfetched, and our military can repel them anyway. They are wrong. Though our capabilities have come a long way in eight years, they are not enough to protect all of America. It is morally wrong to suggest that the government should settle for protecting only some of us.

Twenty countries have ballistic missiles. Some are our friends, but others like North Korea and Iran should give us pause. The good news is that most Americans understand the threat. And after 9/11, they want—they expect—Washington to do what it must to protect them.

The bad news is that the Obama administration has not yet made a commitment to the range of programs we need for an effective, layered system—with missile defenses on the ground, at sea, and in space.



Our new leaders need to hear that we want them to continue investing in missile defense. To help us understand what is at stake, The Heritage Foundation has produced [33 Minutes: Protecting America in the New Missile Age](#), a high-definition documentary that spells out in plain language the growing threat, what missile defense entails, how far we've come, and most important, what we still need to do.

The threat is clear. Lt. Gen. Henry "Trey" Obering, the just retired director of the Missile Defense Agency, puts it this way in 33 Minutes: "We have already seen the transfer of very short-range rockets and missiles from a state to a terrorist organization. When you marry that with a weapon of mass destruction, even one ... fired into an American or allied city could cause tremendous devastation."

We can't negotiate the threat away. We've tried. Bad actors like Iran want these weapons to hold the U.S. and other nations hostage. They don't want us to deploy missile defenses because that would make their weapons useless.

It's unconscionable that we do not have adequate missile defenses to deal with the threats they pose. Washington is morally obligated to provide what we need, because as Obering soberly concludes, "When all else fails—when all the negotiations have broken down, when there is a missile in the air—you have to have the ability to destroy it, because the only other ability that you would have would be to apologize to those that have died."

This is an inconvenient truth for those who think talking to our enemies from a position of vulnerability trumps military strength. Obama's team needs to hear from us, just as it is from people in Poland, the Czech Republic, and elsewhere. We want protection from ballistic missiles. Anything less is abrogating their oath to uphold the Constitution and "provide for the common defense."

MICHAEL STEELE

The old guard, the ones that lost elections over the last four years and lost their majority status in DC and in many states, converged to elect new the Republican National Committee Chairman, Mr. Michael Steele. The selection occurred after GOP county conventions but well before state GOP conventions.



We wish Mr. Steele the best but we have a tenuous optimism regarding the direction of the Republican Party. Although he specifically espoused conservative ideologies during his acceptance speech, he had previously suggested that the GOP follow the lead of the Democrats by making the GOP tent more inclusive.



Historically the GOP had one fundamental principle on which all others were based; constitutionalism. Even the principles of the idea of republicanism is based on the constitutional model of formal government; specific, restricted and limited.

Mr. Steele seems to be principled and is far more down-to-earth than charismatic Democrat leaders. Mr. Steele's vision of the future is bright and energetic. What he can potentially bring to the table is unlimited.

As the former GOPAC Chair, he has proven his abilities to fundraise even in the face of GOP adversities; the McCain simplex. Mr. Steele is optimistic and is perhaps one of the best unifiers within the GOP and may very well have found his purpose in life, based on God-given rights and the founding principles of the original liberals of the Republican Party.

Apathy, disappointment, neo-cons and numerous other obstacles will need to be overcome to raise the Party to the heights of the Reagan Era. Best of luck Mr. Steele.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Michael_S._Steele—<http://rnc.org/> - April 28, 2007: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7cC62Vgkss>—Acceptance Speech: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TNMfYRVVRg>

Why Liberals Lie, Love Lies and Hate God

How do you get Christians, taxpayers, constitutionalists, gun-owners, veterans and even minorities to vote for those who actively oppose everything they stand for in their lives? Simple: Lie to them. Tell them what they want to hear and never, ever, let a candidate show or speak about their true colors!

Let's face it America, if you had to write a check every payday to pay your income taxes to the state and feds, zip-off a check to the Social Security Trust Fund and then another to the Medicare agents, you would never vote for another person who spoke of raising taxes or even a party that has a history of mismanaging your tax dollars. In fact, under the current circumstances, most of you would be in favor of public hangings when your congressman or state representative came to town.

Screwtape and Wormwood head the DC Tempters. Toadpipe and his minions hold the power of the White House. Americans are their Patient; particularly minorities, who are easily manipulated.

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Screwtape>

If the liberals admitted that they financed "projects" in major cities in order to keep minority populations segregated from the rest of society, their honesty would be met with a barrage of backlashes. It's bad enough that minorities ignore the beliefs of liberals that they evolved from monkeys, but the simple disrespect of life itself should raise eyebrows; especially among those who say they believe in Jesus Christ.

There are some minority leaders who tend to express the truth about liberals, like the Reverend, Dr. James David Manning:

<http://www.atlah.org/>

We recommend you view some of his videos, especially if you're a member of the Christian race and no so much a descendent of monkeys. The oppressive ideologies of those supported by minority populations is a major topic of concern for Dr. Manning.

Liberals (Democrats) have opposed the civil rights of minorities since the days of President Abraham Lincoln. They voted no during the Civil Rights movements through the 1950s and 1960s. The Great Society continued the disenfranchisements.

Also during the years of the Lyndon Johnson Administration, Congress voted to spend the surplus monies collected for the Social Security Trust Fund. Democrats thought it a better practice to write IOUs rather than keep the money in the fund and pay the required interest on the money. The simple fact is that IF Congress had left the SSTF monies alone, didn't take the money to use for administrative costs, and paid the necessary interests on the funds, it would be fully solvent today.

Imagine the retributions among the FDR Democrats if the liberals admitted they were spending their money foolishly!

The national health care crisis scam is a prime example of how well the liberals can lie and prolong a sustained imagery that all Americans are struggling. The fact that 40 million people in America have no health care insurance neglects to point out that over 24 million of those included in the statistical figure are illegal aliens. It's like a smoking-related illness; even if a non-smoker dies at 92 years old of lung cancer, it's a smoking-related death.

We've been down this road before, but the reason why health care insurance premiums are so high today is because those liberals who want national health care have refused to pay the fair market value of health services. Medicare and Medicaid are equally responsible for driving up the costs of health care. The typical reimbursement is less than half of what the actual costs are for any health care service; the insurance companies have to make-up the difference.

In other-words, those with insurance not only pay into Medicare, but they have to pay more for their insurance premiums so their insurance company can afford to pay the differences. This also applies to medications.

How would most Americans react if the liberals admitted they're scamming the taxpayers and creating unnecessary hardships on insurance companies just so they can promote and receive support for a national health care program, even though Medicare and Medicaid are a national health care program?

Now, if you mixed God into the batch, liberals would have a hard time selling their notion that humans are nothing more than evolved monkey tissue.

THE FIVE NON-NEGOTIABLE ISSUES

These five issues are called non-negotiable because they concern actions that are always morally wrong and must never be promoted by the law. It is a serious sin to endorse or promote any of these actions, and no candidate who really wants to advance the common good will support any of the five non-negotiables.

1. Abortion

The Church teaches that, regarding a law permitting abortions, it is "never licit to obey it, or to take part in a propaganda campaign in favor of such a law, or to vote for it" (EV 73). Abortion is the intentional and direct killing of an innocent human being, and therefore it is a form of homicide.

The child is always an innocent party, and

no law may permit the taking of his life. Even when a child is conceived through rape or incest, the fault is not the child's, who should not suffer death for others' sins.

2. Euthanasia

Often disguised by the name "mercy killing," euthanasia also is a form of homicide. No one has a right to take his own life (suicide), and no one has the right to take the life of any innocent person.

In euthanasia, the ill or elderly are killed out of a misplaced sense of compassion, but true compassion cannot include doing something intrinsically evil to another person (cf. EV 73).

3. Fetal Stem Cell Research

Human embryos are human beings. "Respect for the dignity of the human being

excludes all experimental manipulation or exploitation of the human embryo" (CRF 4b).

Recent scientific advances show that any medical cure that might arise from experimentation on fetal stem cells can be developed by using adult stem cells instead. Adult stem cells can be obtained without doing harm to the adults from whom they come. Thus there no longer is a medical argument in favor of using fetal stem cells.

4. Human Cloning

"Attempts . . . for obtaining a human being without any connection with sexuality through 'twin fission,' cloning, or parthenogenesis are to be considered contrary to the moral law, since they are in opposition to the dignity both of human procreation and of the

conjugal union" (RHL I:6).

Human cloning also ends up being a form of homicide because the "rejected" or "unsuccessful" clones are destroyed, yet each clone is a human being.

5. Homosexual "Marriage"

True marriage is the union of one man and one woman. Legal recognition of any other form of "marriage" undermines true marriage, and legal recognition of homosexual unions actually does homosexual persons a disfavor by encouraging them to persist in what is an objectively immoral arrangement.

"When legislation in favor of the recognition of homosexual unions is proposed for the first time in a legislative assembly, the Catholic lawmaker has a moral duty to express his opposition clearly and publicly and to vote against it. To vote in favor of a law so harmful to the common good is gravely immoral" (UHP 10).

<http://www.saviorquest.com/news1/catholicvoters.htm>

NATIONAL DEFENDER

The National Defender is a publication of the Patriots of America. We are a very partisan group of concerned citizens: *partisan* (n.) *A fervent, sometimes militant supporter or proponent of a party, cause, faction, person, or idea.* Our fervent stance embraces the idea of Creationism which is solidly in support of the Constitution. We are the voice of advocacy for human, cultural, societal, and property rights.

We focus our commitment on holding an elected or appointed office holder wholly accountable by those who pay their wages and provide funding for their benefits; the people.

Our goal is to provide our readers with a clear alternative source of news and opinion that is not limited to butt-kissing and ankle-grabbing like most news and opinion providers. We will try to collect revealing and credible news stories from any source to help keep the citizens of the Upper Peninsula of Michigan, the State of Michigan, and the U. S. informed of the shenanigans occurring in our political bureaucracy.

An informed public is an intelligent public—We hold these values in order to ensure a viable and accountable governmental system. We hold harmless all persons rendering time-locked truth.

EDITOR, NATIONAL DEFENDER

editor@nationaldefender.us

USA MAKES HORRIBLE MISTAKE!

Stimulus, Illustrated

It's difficult to visualize a million dollars, much less a million-million dollars. But that's the true threshold cracked by the "\$825" billion "stimulus" bills, when you include \$347 billion in estimated interest costs associated with the incremental borrowing required.

So how big is the resulting \$1.2 trillion spending package? Big enough to dwarf any government program in history, even after adjusting for inflation. It's bigger than the New Deal and the Iraq War combined. The interest alone will be costlier than the Louisiana Purchase or going to the moon.

The only relatively modest component of the spending bonanza is the money tagged for infrastructure and energy efficiency (the ostensibly simulative part), which accounts for less than 14% of the total.

Feast your eyes on the ghastly enormity of the Democrats' Stimulus packages:



While legal Americans struggled to put gas in their tanks since the Democrats took over Congress in 2007 and almost right up to the election in 2008, we were confidently distracted from recognizing the real underlying economic fusion. The thought the sun is only 93 million miles from earth and the rest of universe is pretty much measured in light-years, we can conclude that our deficit is better understood by knowing that 25 trillion miles equates to four light-years.

The public debt of the United States on February 19, 2009 was \$10.802 trillion. The debt was 36.8% of the GDP in 2007 and today is a whopping 65.5% of the GDP today.

The liberals' President Obama was quite clear that his administration had inherited the enormous debt. He didn't bother pointing out that he voted to raise it to 36.8% of the GDP and then signed the latest bill to make it 65.5%. Americans elected lawyers to solve economic problems. Their expectations were what?

Obama's "Natural Born" Problem *By David Huntwork*

By now you are probably aware that there have been a multitude of lawsuits filed in regards to the question of whether or not President-elect Barack Obama is in fact eligible under the "natural born" provision of the Constitution of the United States of America to be the President of the United States (POTUS).

The Constitutional provisions are very specific when it comes to the minimal qualifications for President. One is to be over thirty-five. He is. Two, is being in the country fourteen years. He has been. Three, is to be a natural-born citizen. The latter remains unproven, a matter of contention, and appears to be increasingly unlikely to be true.

There are several variations on this theme, but the general and most often made argument is relatively simple and straightforward.

The Plaintiff in one of the filed suits put it this way:

"If in fact Obama was born in Kenya, the laws on the books in the United States at the time of his birth stated if a child is born abroad and one parent was a U.S. Citizen, which would have been his mother, Stanley Ann Dunham, Obama's mother would have had to live ten (10) years in the United States, five (5) of which were after the age of fourteen (14). At the time of Obama's birth, his mother was only eighteen (18) and therefore did not meet the residency requirements under the law to give her son (Obama) U.S. Citizenship much less the status of 'natural born.'"

It also was a complication that Obama's mother divorced his father, married and moved to Indonesia for several years and Obama attended school there at a time when only Indonesian citizens were allowed in schools. Records that are available from Indonesia revealed Obama was registered in school as Barry Soetoro, and his religion was listed as Islam.

When Obama later returned to Hawaii, within the United States, there should have been a government document affirming his citizenship, but that also cannot be found."

The Obama campaign had insisted from the very beginning that Barack Obama was in fact born in the state of Hawaii which would automatically make him eligible for the highest office of the land. All US citizens are issued a birth certificate within a short time after birth. It would be very simple, and take about five minutes, for the Obama organization to put this rumor to rest and prove once and for all that this is just some wild conspiracy from the Internet rumor mill that should be mocked and ignored as simply "sour grapes" by a die hard few.

Except that Obama has steadfastly refused to release his birth certificate and has shown the willingness to spend a large amount of money on legal fees while enduring increasingly bad publicity by refusing to release it or any hospital records associated with a birth in the state of Hawaii.

One of the cases filed with the Supreme Court also raised the circumstances of Obama's time during his youth in Indonesia, where he was listed as having Indonesian citizenship. Indonesia did not allow dual citizenship at that time, raising the possibility of Obama's mother having given up his U.S. citizenship. Any subsequent U.S. citizenship then, the case claims, would be "naturalized," not "natural-born".

There have also been suspicions that Obama's college records may indicate he received aid as a foreigner, and that could be the reason why those records were never released.

The questions of his birth certificate and constitutional eligibility for POTUS have been ongoing for months. Unlike the convoluted conspiracies and "innovative" conjectures that have been leveled against various presidents including Bill Clinton and the current holder of the office, this particular allegation of constitutional ineligibility would be extremely easy to refute and the entire mess could be over in just a matter of minutes. The logical conclusion is that there has to be some sort of reason that this document (if it exists) has not been released. There is obviously something to hide here and I am not alone in my interest in what that may be.

Months ago the Obama campaign, in an attempt to "answer" this question, posted a copy of what was said to Obama's "Certification of Live Birth" on the official Obama website. The Main Stream Media and the Progressive blogosphere quickly and proudly pointed to it and proclaimed the case closed. Unfortunately, the posting of that document actually raised as many or more questions than it had supposedly answered. The computer-generated "Certification of Live Birth" is used by the state of Hawaii in lieu of the "Certificate of Live Birth," or if originally filed, a "Delayed Certificate of Birth," or even a "Certificate of Hawaiian Birth." For verification purposes, however, the "Certification of Live Birth" does not indicate which birth record "root document(s)" that the Certification is based upon.

Hawaii Revised Statute 338-178 allows registration of birth in Hawaii for a child that was born outside of Hawaii to parents who, for a year preceding the child's birth, claimed Hawaii as their place of residence. Obama's certification of live birth doesn't list a hospital, attending physician, or any witnesses of the birth that could be tracked down and investigated. The posted document is essentially worthless as any sort of proof that Obama was born in the United States and simply put does not prove natural-born status. Yet that document is the only evidence that Barack Obama has so far produced that he is in fact eligible to be the President of the United States.

My initial hunch was that Obama was in fact not born in Kenya, but that the name on the birth certificate was not "Barack Obama" but some other, possibly "Barry" with perhaps even a different last name (his mother's?). It is also perhaps an amended birth certificate (not uncommon in cases of adoption by a step parent) listing his now legal name as "Barry Soetoro" and thus somehow a cause of embarrassment or a potential political liability. Obama's adoption in Indonesia by his stepfather Lolo Soetoro would make that a very plausible scenario.

Such speculation is obviously conjectural. But much of Obama's youth is shrouded in mystery especially in regards to his Islamic schooling, upbringing in Indonesia, drug use, and later ties to a variety of radical and questionable characters and mentors. With that in mind, perhaps an actual birth in Kenya wouldn't be all that surprising but merely the icing on the cake when it comes to the strange, twisted and contradictory tale of Barack Obama's life.

There was some whispering about Senator John McCain's own natural-born citizenship status and POTUS eligibility early on and to his credit he produced his long form birth certificate in record time putting such questions to

rest very quickly. Meanwhile, many months later, we are still sifting through rumors, Supreme Court petitions, multiple lawsuits from all over the country, and articles like this one while the Obama camp continues playing "whack a mole" in regards to questions about Obama's natural-born status.

Three things have made me take an interest in this sideshow saga and made me at least somewhat increasingly open to the idea that Barack Obama may in fact have been born in Kenya. The first is that the Kenyan ambassador to the United States has openly admitted that Obama was born in Kenya.

Mike in The Morning Calls The Kenyan Embassy (101 WRIF Radio, Detroit, Michigan)

Radio Host:

One more quick question, president elect Obama's birthplace over in Kenya is that going to be a national spot to go visit where he was born?

Kenyan Ambassador:

It is already an attraction. His paternal grandmother is still alive.

Radio Host:

But his birth place, they will put up a marker there?

Kenyan Ambassador:

It will depend on the government. It is already well known.

The sound clip can be found at:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zH4GX30tf14>

The second is that soon to be Secretary of Commerce and current New Mexico governor Bill Richardson is on record as stating that Obama is "an immigrant". An odd thing to say if it were not true, and an outright lie otherwise. You can view the video clip at:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s501ldj_YIpo&url=http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=83114&feature=player_embedded

Perhaps the strongest anecdotal evidence is that Obama's own paternal grandmother has said on multiple occasions that she was there when Barack was born in Kenya. Obama's Kenyan half brother and half sister have also stated that Obama was born in Kenya. None of this anecdotal evidence is conclusive but combined with Obama's steadfast refusal to release his long form birth certificate it plants the seeds of suspicion and makes you really want to ask a simple question. What is he hiding? And why?

The real fun to be had with this story is not that Obama for any reason would be somehow decreed ineligible as the next President of the United States (it won't happen) but that there apparently is no oversight at all to the election process and a candidates eligibility for that office. Wouldn't it, and shouldn't it, be common sense and standard practice that some official or semi-official body like the Federal Election Commission or the respective major political parties require that all candidates for President of the United States provide proof that they meet the requirements for the office as stated in the Constitution? Is that really too much to ask?

Barack Obama has held elected office on the federal level, won his parties nomination for President, and then was elected President of the United States, all without providing proof that he is in compliance with the provisions laid down very plainly in the Constitution. One should be able to declare such a scenario as inconceivable, yet it appears to be all too true.

<http://globalpolitician.com/25363-barack-obama>

Is Obama a natural-born citizen? Thomas J. Lucente Jr.

The Lima (Ohio) News January 6, 2009 - 8:44PM

LIMA, Ohio — The most underreported story of 2008 has to be the continuing saga of a few brave souls who are working through the courts to force President-elect Barack Obama to prove his citizenship.

In fact, a report from The Associated Press dismissed the whole thing as nothing but Internet conspiracy theories.

This lack of coverage is just the latest example of why I have mostly lost hope for the mainstream media in the United States.

Thanks to the lack of media coverage, many of you reading this may be unaware of the multitude of lawsuits challenging Obama's citizenship and his legal right to be president.

The Framers, when putting together our Constitution, inserted Article 2, Section 1, Clause 4, which tells us. "No Person except a natural born Citizen ... shall be eligible to the Office of President." The same clause prevents Austrian-born California Gov. Arnold A. Schwarzenegger from being president.

There have been at least 17 lawsuits filed in state and federal courts alleging that Obama does not meet the Constitutional requirement of being a natural-born citizen.

The lawsuits filed all have varying theories

on Obama's citizenship, including that he was not born in the United States, that he became a citizen of Indonesia when he was adopted, or that he had dual citizenship at birth, which means he was not a natural-born citizen.

The lawsuit with which I am most familiar was filed in a federal court in Pennsylvania by Philip Berg, a Democrat.

That lawsuit alleges that Obama was born in Mombasa, Kenya. Berg says he has a recording of Obama's grandmother, Sarah Obama, saying she was present when Obama was born in Kenya.

Berg further claims that Obama himself and other members of his family have made conflicting statements as to where he was actually born. Additionally, Kenyan officials have said Obama was born in Kenya.

Much of the controversy surrounds Obama's birth certificate. The state of Hawaii has refused to release the long-form or "vault" copy of the birth certificate. Obama has also refused to release the long-form copy.

Back in June, the campaign did release a short-form certificate, which is a certificate created by Hawaii that says the long-form certificate is on file. However, according to

Berg, the campaign only released the short-form certificate to The Daily Kos, a left-wing blog, and FactCheck.org, a pro-Obama organization. Additionally, Berg has called the short-form certificate a forgery.

Berg brought the case to the U.S. Supreme Court and was initially denied by Justices David Souter and Anthony Kennedy before submitting his petition to Justice Antonin Scalia.

The Supreme Court has scheduled two conferences on the case, one for Friday and a second one for Jan. 16, four days before Obama will take office.

I do not know if Obama is a natural-born citizen. My instincts tell me that it would have been difficult for him to hide that fact for so long. However, citizenship law can be very murky and Obama's reticence on the matter is disturbing.

I know from personal experience that when dealing with dual citizenship issues, birth certificates are usually filed in both countries. The state of Hawaii's statement that it has a birth certificate on file does nothing in solving the mystery of where Obama

was born. And for those of you who have studied history in any detail, the truth is far more often stranger than fiction. Obama may fall, and in many ways he already does, into that category. Who would have thought that an "I vote present" product of the incredibly corrupt Chicago political machine with a Leftist ideology, a Muslim stepfather, a socialistic economic policy, a radical spiritual mentor, and who is beholden to a domestic terrorist for the launching of his political career could so easily be elected to the Presidency of the United States of America? If I had pitched that scenario to you two years ago you would have called me crazy yet that is exactly the situation we find ourselves in.

I do believe this will probably not be little more than an interesting political sideshow that will end up as just another bizarre footnote in annals of history. It is somewhat disturbing though that we are apparently willing to just wave constitutional requirements for the highest office of the land whenever we see fit. One has to believe that altering and tampering with basic constitutional provisions is probably not very wise and not healthy for our form of government.

The glaring disgrace here is that Obama should have been forced to prove his eligibility for office (they all should) before the first primary election or caucus was held and that the Democratic Party failed miserably in its duty to make sure that they were offering up a legitimate and eligible candidate as their presidential nominee.

If indeed Barack Obama is constitutionally ineligible for the highest office in the land, and could theoretically be an unconstitutional president, it is not the child who dared mention that "The Emperor has no clothes!" who is being "embarrassing and destructive" by bringing this up, but the Democratic party and the electoral system as a whole which allowed an unqualified candidate with unproven, dubious credentials to be allowed to appear on the ballot in a national election.

In the worse case scenario we will have taken just another baby step towards losing our Republic and the rule of law when that dusty and irritating Constitution becomes something to just be ignored or set aside whenever it might be inconvenient, or upset some people, or just be impractical for this particular "situation".

Maybe we have reached the point where we just set aside parts of the Constitution if they are inconvenient and that might potentially be a problem for He Who Will Slow the Rising of the Seas and the fainting, ecstatic, potentially angry mob who propelled him to power. We have already journeyed a ways down the road once traveled by ancient Rome where the elites began to worry about the mood and reactions of the masses who openly threatened disorder and mayhem if they were unhappy, while those who held the reigns of power increasingly ignored the once revered rules that had held their political system together.

At the time of the publication of this article Barack Obama still had not proved his eligibility to serve as President of the United States as defined by Article II, Section 1 of the Constitution of the United States of America. And he probably remains unable to do so.

David Huntwork is a conservative activist and freelance columnist in Northern Colorado, where he lives with his wife and three young daughters. You may view his bio and past columns at <http://DavidHuntwork.tripod.com>. Feel free to contact him with any comments or questions at Davehuntwork@juno.com.

was born. It merely tells us that his birth was recorded in Hawaii.

The whole controversy might be nothing more than a crazy conspiracy theory. Then again, it might be a valid argument.

The whole matter could easily be settled by the state of Hawaii releasing the long-form certificate, something the Supreme Court can force if it accepts the case for arguments.

There is much at stake here. This is a serious constitutional question. If we find out later that Obama was not a natural-born citizen, then everything he did as president, treaties, laws, executive orders, etc., would be invalid.

The candidates spent more than \$1 billion and two years vying for the job. Would it not make sense to give lawyers an afternoon arguing the issue in front of the Supreme Court? That is the least we could do to make sure the person taking the oath of office actually meets the requirements.

The Constitution demands nothing less.

Thomas J. Lucente Jr. is a columnist with The Lima (Ohio) News, a Freedom Communications newspaper. He is also a veteran of the Iraq war and a law student at the University of Toledo in Toledo, Ohio. Visit his blog at <http://www.lucente.org>.

Severe Space Weather

January 21, 2009: Did you know a solar flare can make your toilet stop working? That's the surprising conclusion of a NASA-funded study by the National Academy of Sciences entitled Severe Space Weather Events—Understanding Societal and Economic Impacts. In the 132-page report, experts detailed what might happen to our modern, high-tech society in the event of a "super solar flare" followed by an extreme geomagnetic storm. They found that almost nothing is immune from space weather—not even the water in your bathroom.

Right: Auroras over Blair, Nebraska, during a geomagnetic storm in May 2005. Photo credit: Mike Hollingshead at Spaceweather.com.



The problem begins with the electric power grid. "Electric power is modern society's cornerstone technology on which virtually all other infrastructures and services depend," the report notes. Yet it is particularly vulnerable to bad space weather. Ground currents induced during geomagnetic storms can actually melt the copper windings of transformers at the heart of many power distribution systems. Sprawling power lines act like antennas, picking up the currents and spreading the problem over a wide area. The most famous geomagnetic power outage happened during a space storm in March 1989 when six million people in Quebec lost power for 9 hours:

According to the report, power grids may be more vulnerable than ever. The problem is interconnectedness. In recent years, utilities


have joined grids together to allow long-distance transmission of low-cost power to areas of sudden demand. On a hot summer day in California, for instance, people in Los Angeles might be running their air conditioners on power routed from Oregon. It makes economic sense—but not necessarily geomagnetic sense. Interconnectedness makes the system susceptible to wide-ranging "cascade failures."

To estimate the scale of such a failure, report co-author John Kappenmann of the Metatech Corporation looked at the great geomagnetic storm of May 1921, which produced ground currents as much as ten times stronger than the 1989 Quebec storm, and modeled its effect on the modern power grid. He found more than 350 transformers at risk of permanent damage and 130 million people without power. The loss of electricity would ripple across the social infrastructure with "water distribution affected within several hours; perishable foods and medications lost in 12-24 hours; loss of heating/air conditioning, sewage disposal, phone service, fuel re-supply and so on."

"The concept of interdependency," the report notes, "is evident in the unavailability of water due to long-term outage of electric power--and the inability to restart an electric generator without water on site."

Right: What if the May 1921 superstorm occurred today? A US map of vulnerable transformers with areas of probable system collapse circled. A state-by-state map of transformer vulnerability is also available**. Credit: National Academy of Sciences.

The strongest geomagnetic storm on record is the Carrington Event of August-September 1859, named after British astronomer Richard Carrington who witnessed the instigating solar flare with his unaided



Left: ***A web of interdependencies makes the modern economy especially sensitive to solar storms. Source: Dept. of Homeland Security.

What's the solution? The report ends with a call for infrastructure designed to better withstand geomagnetic disturbances, improved GPS codes and frequencies, and improvements

in space weather forecasting. Reliable forecasting is key. If utility and satellite operators know a storm is coming, they can take measures to reduce damage—e.g., disconnecting wires, shielding vulnerable electronics, powering down critical hardware. A few hours without power is better than a few weeks.

NASA has deployed a fleet of spacecraft to study the sun and its eruptions. The Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO), the twin STEREO probes, ACE, Wind and others are on duty 24/7. NASA physicists use data from these missions to understand the underlying physics of flares and geomagnetic storms; personnel at NOAA's Space Weather Prediction Center use the findings, in turn, to hone their forecasts.

At the moment, no one knows when the next super solar storm will erupt. It could be 100 years away or just 100 days. It's something to think about the next time you flush.



Editor's Note: Sound familiar? It's called an EMP, electro-magnetic pulse. It can come in the form a cosmic anomaly or a nuclear detonation; either way we're not ready. Computers, including those on satellites and aircraft, will fail and all the data stored will be lost. Since we don't teach students pencil-to-paper anymore, the chances of societal regression is enormous. All monetary exchanges will cease, even those who have their latest statements won't have access to financial institutions.

The United States has seen our electrical system put on grids as hydroelectric dams are decommissioned and coal-fired power companies are collapsing under the weight of government mandates. We know better; yet we elect people who are in way over their heads from the start.

Utilities that operate in Britain such as Electricite de France SA and E.ON AG, other businesses and local government may fund installation of insulation and solar panels on U.K. homes, recovering the costs through utility bills, under proposals today from the Department of Energy and Climate Change. The plan has a three-month public comment period before a law will be drafted.

"We want to ensure that this great British 'refurb' is based on a plan which over time covers every area and every house in every area," Energy and Climate Change Secretary Ed Miliband said today in a speech in London. "Families are losing up to 300 pounds (\$428) a year from inadequate energy efficiency."

The plan, described by Housing Minister Margaret Beckett as a "sustainable makeover for every home," are intended to guide Britain to its national target of cutting carbon-dioxide emissions 80 percent from 1990 levels by 2050. Homes account for about 27 percent of the country's output of global-warming gases. The government aims to refurbish 7 mil-



http://science.nasa.gov/headlines/y2009/21jan_severespaceweather.htm?list82826—**<http://science.nasa.gov/headlines/y2009/images/severespaceweather/transformermap.jpg>
***<http://science.nasa.gov/headlines/y2009/images/severespaceweather/interdependency.jpg>

Arctic Sea Ice Underestimated for Weeks Due to Faulty Sensor

By Alex Morales
Feb. 20 (Bloomberg) -- A glitch in satellite sensors caused scientists to underestimate the extent of Arctic sea ice by 500,000 square kilometers (193,000 square miles), a California-size area, the U.S. National Snow and Ice Data Center said.

The error, due to a problem called "sensor drift," began in early January and caused a slowly growing underestimation of sea ice extent until mid-February. That's when "puzzled readers" alerted the NSIDC about data showing ice-covered areas as stretches of open ocean, the Boulder, Colorado-based group said on its Web site.

"Sensor drift, although infrequent, does occasionally occur and it is one of the things that we account for during quality-control measures prior to archiving the data," the center said. "Although we believe that data prior to early January are reliable, we will conduct a full quality check."

The extent of Arctic sea ice is seen as a key measure of how rising temperatures are affecting the Earth. The cap retreated in 2007 to its lowest extent ever and last year posted its second-lowest annual minimum at the end of the yearly melt season. The recent error doesn't change findings that Arctic ice is retreating, the NSIDC said.

The center said real-time data on sea ice is always less reliable than archived numbers because full checks haven't yet been carried out. Historical data is checked across other sources, it said.

The NSIDC uses Department of Defense satellites to obtain its Arctic sea ice data rather than more accurate National Aeronautics and Space Administration equipment. That's because the defense satellites have a longer period of historical data, enabling scientists to draw conclusions about long-term ice melt, the center said.

"There is a balance between being as accurate as possible at any given moment and being as consistent as possible through long time-periods," NSIDC said. "Our main scientific focus is on the long-term changes in Arctic sea ice."

To contact the reporter on this story:
*Alex Morales in London at amorales2@bloomberg.net

Last Updated: February 20, 2009 08:15 EST

http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=email_cn&refer=&sid=alc9wvCqxyV

**U.K. Wants 'Sustainable Makeover' for Every Home to Cut CO2

By Alex Morales
Feb. 12 (Bloomberg) -- The U.K., enduring its worst winter since 1991, is planning to save energy and cut residential greenhouse-gas emissions to almost zero through a "sustainable makeover" on each of the country's 27 million households.

*http://search.bloomberg.com/search?q=Alex+Morales&site=wnews&client=wnews&proxystylesheet=wnews&output=xml_no_dtd&ie=UTF-8&oc=UTF-8&filter=p&getfields=wnnis&sort=date;D:S;d

**<http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=newsarchive&sid=aJEkyFXQHlIk#>

lion homes by 2020 and all U.K. households by 2030. About 61 million people live in the U.K.

Upgrading homes to make them more energy-efficient at a time when the U.K. has been hit by its worst winter storms in 18 years will require an annual expenditure of up to 6.5 billion pounds, according to the environmental group Greenpeace. Miliband twice declined to say how much it will cost to insulate every home.

'Stick and Carrot'
Repayments for the insulation made through utility bills would be linked to the home rather than the person to encourage more people to take up the measures, which tend to generate savings over a longer period than the 7 to 8 years residents typically live in a home, Miliband said.

"This means that they make big energy bill savings and then part of that is offset - and I emphasize part of it because they have lower bills overall -- by a repayment," Miliband said.

Under a separate plan, suppliers will pay 350 million pounds to overhaul 90,000 homes in low-income areas and make them more energy-efficient, according to the department.

THE OTHER "O" By C. J. Williams



What comes to mind when you hear the name Oprah Winfrey? Whatever it is, it's unlikely to be the United Nations. However, it seems that Obama's friend and distaff "O" has crawled into bed with his socialist army, and is using her considerable influence and wealth to help meet the UN's 21st Century Millennium Development Goals, thereby moving the Marxist political agenda for 'change' along.

Although Newspeak language deception is used for describing the "Goals" and making them appear worthy of attainment, Phyllis Schlafly perhaps best sums them up by stating that they refer to **"the declaration adopted by the United Nations Millennium Assembly and Summit in 2000 (blessed by President Bill Clinton) that called for the "eradication of poverty" by "redistribution (of) wealth and land," cancellation of "the debts of developing countries" and "a fair distribution of the earth's resources" (from the U.S. to the rest of the world, of course)."**

At the root of the Millennium Goals is the insidious UN "Agenda 21/Sustainable Development Programme", a 40-chapter blueprint for 'change' so as to develop a sustainable society of global proportions, or so Newspeak would lead us to believe. Actually, "Sustainable Development" is best described in Part 3 of Michael Shaw's article series, "Liberty or Sustainable Development?"...

"Sustainable Development is the process by which America is being reorganized around the central principle of state collectivism using the environment as bait. This is being done while indoctrinating and preparing our children to live in a state run, globally managed collective. The philosophical premise of the American experience and Sustainable Development are opposite."

Recently, I discovered that America's children are joining Oprah's "O Ambassadors Clubs", a school-based program created by her 'Angel Network' and (Kids Can) 'Free The Children', founded by Craig Kielberger.

Oprah's program "teaches young people to become active, compassionate and knowledgeable global citizens. By working toward the U.N. Millennium Development Goals, students learn how issues of poverty, education, health and sustainable development affect people in developing countries."

On Oprah's "O Ambassadors" Global Website, it's written that: **"With all of the resources we have access to here (in America), there comes a responsibility. Part of sustainable development means using our resources in a way that doesn't deplete our planet. It also means helping other less developed countries to achieve a better quality of life."**

"This global support for a sustainable world means offering more and better aid, debt relief and fair trade. It also means reducing our environmental footprint here in North America."

Is it noble and magnanimous of American children to raise money for impoverished children living in Third World countries? No, in this grandmother's humble opinion it's not, particularly when one considers that the UN's Wildlands Project (the plan) is the underlying blueprint for the environmental part of the UN's Agenda 21/Sustainable Development Programme from which the Millennium Goals were hatched.

No, it's most definitely not noble and magnanimous for children to be conned into redistributing wealth in whatever paltry amount to the Third World when several of their federal and state government agencies, plus a plethora of non-government environmental conservation organizations, are all working together under the Wildlands Projects' umbrella to rewild a minimum of 50% of America, to turn at least half of our nation into wilderness, sans people.

Oh my, yes, in the rewilders' minds there's much more to the destructiveness of the human footprint, be they children's or adults' footprints, than carbon emissions and the manmade global warming issue (hoax). This is why more and more public land is being turned into severely controlled U.N. Biospheres, Wilderness Areas, American Scenic Rivers, World Heritage Sites, Wildlife Sanctuaries, etc. This is what the huge soon-to-be voted on federal Omnibus Land Grab Bill, S-22, is about.

Eventually, these places, all sewn together as UN biosphere reserves, will be off-limits to these gullible children who are now signing on with the "O Ambassador Clubs. YES, folks, it WILL happen and IS in the process of happening now. It's only the older generation who grew up in a different America that's feebly kept it from happening already.

According to information found at the "O" Angel Network Website "nearly 2,000 O Ambassadors clubs have formed at schools across the United States and Canada. Educators are invited to apply to form an O Ambassadors club at their school either as a part of their classroom curriculum or as an extracurricular opportunity."

In other words, Ms. "O" has assumed the right to use our taxpayer-funded public school systems to support and promote socialistic UN treaty mandates and goals, and to indoctrinate America's children into mindlessly accepting one-world-order concepts, including global governance by international law, as opposed to the protections afforded Americans and their Republic through the US Constitution.

Ms. "O" and the American public school systems that welcome her 'feel good' programs are doing this despite the fact that most UN treaties have never been presented to the American people for careful scrutiny and have also never been ratified by today's pitiful Congress.

The following is taken from various pages of the "O" Ambassadors Website:

"As an educator, you are our partner for change—inspiring youth in your community to find solutions to global challenges through O Ambassadors™."

"Now that you know what the Millennium Development Goals are, it's time to teach them. These web pages offer a refresher on each goal and share fun activities to help you introduce them to students. Whether you're teaching a math lesson or guiding students through an exercise in language arts, these activities can be easily incorporated."

"You can explore the Teaching Tools by Millennium Development Goal theme through the navigation on the left. Go straight to the Teaching Tools Resources section for more general classroom support of O Ambassadors programming."

Typical of many online reports from schools incubating "O" Ambassador Clubs, which can also involve an entire community, is this one from the Greensboro, NC News & Record, which in part reads: "Students at Vandalia Elementary School are participating in the O Ambassadors program, a joint project of Oprah's Angel Network and Free the Children."

"The O Ambassadors program gives youth the opportunity to develop leadership skills and become active, compas-

sionate global citizens by working to find solutions to global challenges through active learning, idea-sharing and taking action...."

"...Ingram (school counselor and club leader) said the projects fall in line with the students' curriculum because they will learn through social studies, geography, reading and math."

"Vandalia principal Keisha McMillan stressed the need for teachers to go beyond the local arena or their four walls and expand learning for our future leaders on a global level right from the classroom. "Exposure is key," McMillan said.

"There are many ways to accomplish this goal," including United Streaming (technology-based program), new Social Studies texts and supplemental materials, Weekly Readers and Time for Kids, McMillan said...

"...Throughout the year, the Vandalia Elementary School O Ambassadors Club will raise awareness about the importance of a holistic approach to development by focusing on the Millennium Development Goals..."



And in Detroit, where well over 50% of the students are failing or dropping out of the educational system altogether, third through eighth grade students at the Mark Twain Elementary School are collecting coins to fund a safe-drinking water system in West Africa's Republic of Sierra Leone. They became interested in the project after the "O Ambassador Roots of Action Tour" visited the school and Michael Chik-wa-nine from the Democratic Republic of Congo described his life as a child soldier.

Has nobody told these kids that more than a few of Detroit's children are being removed from their homes and being put in foster care by Michigan Family Independence Agency soldiers because momma can't pay her water bill?

Oprah Winfrey, a billionaire in her own right, is, in effect, begging coins from American children so as to bring them into the socialist flock under a cloak of pity for children in Third World nations, pitiful children whose leaders live in wealth and luxury while their country's people go wanting. Do you see something wrong with this picture?

Folks, we have only two choices to make. We can let the Socialists win or we can take back our country, and, other than replacing the bums in D. C. with people who put the USA first and the UN second, maybe we should start taking back America by taking back our schools for the sake of our own next generation.

~CJ



WARNING AMERICA!



In 1951, cartoonist Karl Hubenthal in *The New York Journal-American* warned his readers that one-worlders were using "free trade" in an attempt to destroy America. Can you say, "prophet"?

U. S. citizens may have thought it cute to send the same scoundrels back to DC, but like the chicken handing the axe to the farmer, we will eventually all pay for the Clinton-style detriments. The Dems took over Congress in January 2007. The DOW went from an astonishing 14,000 plus down to around 7,500 today; nearly cutting the value of investments in half. NASDAQ followed suit as well.


Was this mess really brought about because so many people defaulted on their mortgages? Well, let's put it this way, Congress could write a \$17,500 check for every household in the United States and still not spend all the money they've appropriated.

requirement of acting under the "watchful eyes" of Congress. The "Fed" is the ultimate banking authority in the United States and we owe them trillions, yet when banks under their authority struggle, the government is supposed to step-in and dole-out money.


The sole purpose of the Tax Code, IRS and lending institutions is to flat-line accounts held by citizens. If you're lucky enough to have some cash and valuable property remaining when you die; the government confiscates a portion of your previously taxed money and property. "Give unto Cesar that which is Cesar's." Congress had taken that quote too literally.

It's quite apparent that the only entity that needs to be nationalized is the privately owned Federal Reserve System. From our Founders to Eisenhower to the GOP icon Ronald Regan, we were warned time and again that the banking industry is capable of devouring an economy.

It is the responsibility of Congress to coin money and set the value thereof; responsibility is not supposed to have been given to some conglomeration of very wealthy private citizens who have but a nominal



DJ INDU AVERAGE (DOW JONES & CO as of 20-Feb-2009



Read: <http://www.michaeljournal.org/lincolnkennedy.htm>—<http://stockcharts.com/charts/historical/djia2000.html>—Rick Santelli Video <http://www.cnn.com/id/15840232?video=1039849853>

We the People – Lied to and Manipulated By C. J. Williams

Over the past two weeks more and more information has come to light regarding how a cabal of elitist thugs has contrived to bring America to the brink of socialism. In fact, we're extremely close to witnessing the outright collapse of our national economy, the demise of private enterprise, and the taking of all our constitutional rights and inherent freedoms.

Those among the massive flock of disenfranchised-with-America sheeple who bleatingly wanted 'change' without fully knowing what that change might be or understanding its implications, cast their vote for it anyway, and in so doing have enabled the thugs to bring about the destruction of our Republic.

We now have an elected body in D. C. that is smugly drunk with power and high on greed, not speed. Only a few holed up there have refused to sip from the cup and/or feel the sting of avarice's needle offered to them by some of the most wealthy people in the world; billionaire members of the nongovernmental Trilateral Commission and Council on Foreign Relations who act as the thugs' puppet masters. Chief among them is George Soros who not only co-hosted a very profitable fundraiser for Obama, but also threw considerable support behind Hillary's bid for election because he favored a second Clinton presidency. As so many former Clinton administration appointees have again been given a berth in the new administration, it seems Soros may have got exactly what he was after.

Since it's become increasingly harder to find principled and honest hired help over the last couple of decades, another cabal of thugs, who've managed to survive scrutiny without too much ado from the public sector, has been appointed to high-ranking bureaucratic positions to serve the wants of their lords and masters. And, yes, since many served the Hilbillary administration, they fit in quite nicely with the current socialist soup du jour.

The combined cabals of thugs are now running our nation's government; making laws, issuing executive orders and presidential directives, meeting with unfriendly-to-America foreign leaders, spending money like it grows on trees, and setting policies in place that will comply with mandates of various United Nations' treaties.

Make no mistake about it, the treaties have been intentionally designed and written in such a manner that compliance with them will result in the loss of private property and the homogenization of sheeple of all races, religions, and value systems into a 'world class' workforce that will either goosestep to the dictates of the megalomaniacal cabal of elitist thugs or else face the consequences.

The bulk of this workforce will be herded to metropolitan areas throughout our country where other cabals of elitist state thugs are now contriving to draw only those major industries deemed to best serve the needs of the world's ruling class.

This workforce, regardless of entitlements they might now be able to afford, will be cared for in a merely sustainable manner, receiving only that which is necessary for survival. The cabal of thugs will have control of virtually everything by nationalizing it, including the monetary system, housing, transportation, education, food production, health care, and industry, among other things. Dare to whisper a complaint to fellow workers about 'owing your soul to the company store' and see who rushes to curry favor with the thugs by ratting you out as a potential organizer of mass civil disobedience.

The two-class system will be made up of an 'elitist bourgeoisie' (a politically correct term for cabal of thugs) and a working-class proletariat. Like it or not, that's how the socialist system operates. The cabal of thugs now contriving to own and control the means of production plan to get the "you will be subservient to us" message across to the proletariat sheeple through a massive re-education program that will be carried out by FEMA, the Department of Homeland Security, and the emerging COPS program, more formally known as the Community Oriented Policing Services.

Yes, you'll be reeducated to fully understand that socialism requires that everyone must contribute to society to the best of their ability and consume from society only in proportion to their needs regardless of how much they've contributed. Now who do you suppose will determine exactly what your needs are? Do you really need new living room furniture, a boat, or a car, particularly when you can take public transportation to work and ride a bike

to the local RSI store? Who do you think will collect the fruit of your magnificent labor and then redistribute it to those with less ability (or desire) so there'll be a level playing field among the proletariat?

One elitist thug, who'll finally get her way and live to see our nation's children being raised to embrace socialism by the cabal's Village Idiots, is Comrade Hillary Clinton. She must be drooling all over her empowered-woman pantsuits at the foreseeable success of the ultimate taking of moldable young minds. Surely you didn't think, did you, that you'd have a voice in your children's upbringing when the cabal of elitist government thugs control 'public' education? Besides, since all must work to the best of their ability, government will control day care centers and fill them with reeducated workers who can mold young minds from the start.

So here we are today in pre-war torn America with even bigger battles ahead, already mentally all but defeated by the taking of billions upon billions of yet to be printed taxpayer money, our hopes for a better tomorrow ripped to shreds by an intimidating, out-of-control cabal of thugs headquartered in Washington, D.C.

We've been told that the collapse of our economy is due to the Freddie Mac/Fannie Mae fiasco and the offering of mortgages to those with no means of meeting monthly payments for any length of time, and then the finger pointing started. Liars, one and all, or so we might presume!

Though I've been unable to substantiate the validity of an interview of Rep. Paul Kanjorski (D-PA) captured in a Jan. 27, 2009 C-Span video, I'll share with readers the most troublesome part of what he had to say. It begins at the video's 2:20 minute mark and it's in relation to what he and others were told really happened to make the elitists' house of cards come tumbling down.

"I was there when the Secretary (of the Treasury Hank Paulson) and the Chairman of the Federal Reserve (Ben Bernanke) came those days and talked to members of congress about what was going on. It was about Sept. 15. Here's the facts, we don't even talk about these things.

"On Thursday at about 11 o'clock in the morning, the Federal Reserve noticed a tremendous drawdown of money market accounts in the United States to the tune of \$550 billion, as being drawn out in the matter of an hour or two.

"The Treasury opened up its window to help. It pumped \$105 billion into the system and quickly realized that they could not stem the tide. We were having an electronic run on the banks. They decided to close the operation, close down the money accounts and announce a guarantee of \$250,000 per account so there wouldn't be further panic out there, and that's what actually happened."

Kanjorski continued, "If they had not done that, their estimation was that by 2 o'clock that afternoon, \$5.5 trillion would have been drawn out of the money market system of the United States, would have collapsed the entire economy of the United States, and within 24 hours the world economy would have collapsed.

"Now we talked at that time about what would happen if that happened. It would have been the end of our economic system and our political system, as we know it. And that's why when they made the point we've got to do things quickly, we did.

"...It was much cheaper to put more money in banks as equity investments than to start buying bad assets because it became early determined that we'd probably have to spend \$3 or \$4 trillion of taxpayers' money to buy these bad assets. And we didn't have—we only had \$700 billion..."

There you have it, folks. Not once did the interviewer interrupt Kanjorski to ask the most obvious questions; "Who drew down the money market accounts? Which companies? Which mutual funds? Hedge funds? What people? WHO PULLED THE FUNDS OUT OF THE SYSTEM AND SET OFF THE CRISIS?"

Fact of the matter is, we're but a baby-step away from a deep depression. The puppet masters have caused cabals of government thugs all over the world to contribute to the collapse of the entire global economy. Iceland went under, the UK may likely be next, and the U.S. may soon follow.

<http://dealbook.blogs.nytimes.com/2008/01/23/soros-obama-and-the-art-of-the-hedge/>
http://www.americanthinker.com/2007/10/hillary_soros_alinsky_and_rush.html
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NMu1mFao3w> - <http://www.kitco.com/ind/willie/sep182008.html>

ACE—TAB—REVISITING 1776

The group Americans for Constitutional Enforcement (ACE) has teamed-up with Take America Back (TAB) and Revisiting 1776. The primary purpose is to pool the valuable resources of each organization in order to establish state sovereignty rights and empower local authorities with verified research.

The premises of the organizations is that the national government has overstepped their authority while diminishing both the rights and collective power of the citizens.

From Walt Meyers, TAB:

In "The Nature of Government," Ayn Rand wrote: **"We are fast approaching the stage of the ultimate inversion: the stage where the government is free to do anything it pleases, while the citizens may act only by permission; which is the stage of the darkest periods of human history, the stage of rule by brute force."** Unfortunately, this stage is eminent. To *Take America Back* (TAB) to its lawful foundation, we must accept and act on the wisdom of Medori Severi.

"until we abandon our manifold private agendas and unite, we will merely be hapless observers standing at the bottom of a dark, deep

pit, wistfully hoping to save the world, but really doing little more than breaking the fall of compatriots who are pushed in on top of us. Only by uniting in a common effort devoid of subjective paradigms will we be able to mold the human spirit upon which we may ascend to freedom....."

Unity of spirit and action requires teamwork and planning. A group is working to build the **TAB** team described at:

<http://www.thecnc.org/Documents/1776.htm>

We've agreed the foundation for "teaming up" consists of agreement on a set of core beliefs, a definition of the problem to be solved and a goal. These items are at:

<http://www.thecnc.org/TeamWork.htm>



We also agreed: America has a problem; the solution lies in a team effort; the Constitutionalist movement is fragmented and its lack of success is largely due to its failure to rally around a comprehensive action plan; a failure to plan is a plan to fail; the plan must

provide a way for individuals and organizations to unite in spirit and on actions of mutual interest without impairing their ability to pursue their special interests; a problem cannot be solved by only addressing its symptoms and time is of the essence.

On most Saturdays, we hold a "workshop" by phone among individuals and organizational leaders in agreement with the foundational items. To date we've agreed on several philosophical items as well and taken a position on the options available for solving our problem. The position paper and other items you should find of interest are at:

www.a4ce.org/TAB/myers_articles.htm

We believe people motivated by results rather than an ego or money and who find agreement with the foundation for "teaming up" to **TAB** should be willing to work with others under a concept permitting unity of spirit and on actions of common interest while assuring everyone is free to pursue

their special interests. We do this through PACCs.

<http://www.thecnc.org/PACCs.htm>

The entire **TAB** plan is contained in *To Tame a Tyrant*. It's the product of several people and is the only comprehensive plan known to exist. I hope you will examine what we are doing. You are welcome to participate in one of our "workshops" to get an answer to any questions you have. If interested, please register with:

<http://www.tyranttamer.com/signup.php>

You will also find the call in information for the workshops on this site. Click on conference.

In pursuit of Liberty,
Walter L. Myers

<http://www.thecnc.org/>

<http://www.tyranttamer.com/>

Editor's Note: Organizations like ACE, TAB, Gun Owners of America (GAO), American Legion, and hundreds of others have a lot in common; protecting the Constitution. It is an honorable mission to build a group and network with others, but all this will be moot if we all aren't on the same page on the same day—election day! Since we can't hang the scoundrels we have to eliminate them at the ballot box.

Go tell THE SPARTANS!! By Melanie K. Wooten

"Go tell the Spartans, passerby, that faithful to their law we lie" ~Simonides of Ceos

In my youth, I was in charge of the library at boarding school and I was happy roaming through the vistas before me in those books. As an avid student of history, there was no way I could avoid the study of warfare. Let's face it: We are here because our ancestors survived some conflict, or fled from same. And I quickly learned in my studies of American, English, French, German, and Russian history that wars cost money; money comes from bankers, and if I was going to understand what happened, and why, I had best start looking at the financial end of things prior to, during and after the conflicts.

Our American Revolution, noble in its aims, its heroes and its written statements, was no different, and the more I read, the more I am learning that Greed was -- and is -- very much a part of our American journey. Bankers got their hooks into us long before the American Revolution officially ended in 1783 with the Treaty of Paris. The Treaty of Ghent in 1814 brought to an end the War of 1812. Not only were many provisions of the latter irrelevant by the time of signing, but also boundaries set out in the Treaty of Paris were redrawn along earlier lines.

No matter, the icing was on the bankers' cake and the Treaty of Ghent rectified a moral wrong, e.g., the total humiliation of the greatest army in the world, according to the British. If you doubt this, go look at the Benjamin West painting commemorating the signing of the Treaty of Paris.

The American representatives dutifully sat to be painted for this momentous occasion; the British refused and virtually half of the painting, running from the upper right to the lower center is blank canvas because the British still had their knickers in a knot! Another war between the same combatants was needed -- and provided.

The key to understanding these treaties is that after Cornwallis handed his sword to Washington at Yorktown in 1781, Washington told Cornwallis he personally had to surrender his sword to each of the Thirteen Colonies, because at that time the colonies were sovereign nations under the Articles of Confederation. No aides-de-camp or junior officers allowed.

I am certain that by the conclusion of the 13 surrenders, Cornwallis was livid. That humiliation had to be punished and the bankers knew exactly what to do.

Article 4 of the Treaty of Paris states, **"Recognizing the lawful contracted debts to be paid to creditors on either side;"**

The Treaty of Ghent skimmed over debts, except for care and feeding of prisoners of war, which were to be reimbursed to the care givers on both sides.

After the Revolution, Schuyler; Church & Ward; Schuyler's flunky, Reynolds; Hamilton, Jefferson, Burr -- and others whose names I am learning as I go deeper into the financing machinations -- gulled the legitimate debtors of our fledgling nation, ensnaring in the venture, most visibly, the youngest son of John Adams who died a hapless, hope-

less drunk at 39, maybe because he finally realized what was done to him -- and to us, in the name of Greed.

The aforementioned 'gentlemen' spent the period between the two wars buying up the US notes for repayment of monies for food-stuffs, uniforms, boots, horses used by the colonies, as evidenced by the orders of Washington, sometimes for pennies on the dollar. These same notes were then sold to the banks in London and Amsterdam, for a handsome profit, so the colonies owed the war debts to the bankers of the loser, based on the homily, "a bird in the hand is worth two in the bush". Since Church & Ward had an office in London, the sale was a simple transaction, quickly done, even in those times. Gavel down; sale made.

The Constitution was a compromise, only assented to because the Bill of Rights was separate and apart from the Constitution, and would remain so. I did a chart on who signed the Declaration, the Articles, and the Constitution. Not many crossovers. Haven't looked at the info in a while, but I seem to recall the crossovers were not even the fingers on your hands. Why didn't we notice this anomaly a long time ago? Could it be this was a deliberate act by the bankers? Nah, they wouldn't do that.

I disagree with the gloom-and-doom forecasts, post-2008 election. As you will recall, in the South we were proud of our crazy relatives. We kept them home and they were

brought into the parlor when company came because they were family. Today, we tend to drug them senseless and warehouse them. The crazier people become, the more resources -- fiscal and physical -- are required to restrain that craziness. Think Nixon and Agnew, in the aftermath of Watergate, and segue to BHO and his handlers. "The more things change, the more they remain the same."

I believe we should encourage those Americans who are poking their heads up for the first time, to take a good look at what is going on. There are more than enough designated mourners; what we need now are Americans who will fight for our Country and not hand her over to the iconoclasts that we have let take over by our silence and hand wringing.

BHO ain't in control of this wagon train so those who are in charge need to be more and more tied up with managing (and keeping politically viable) their puppet, leaving us prairie dogs to burrow beneath and make their journey as difficult as possible.

Americans must believe -- in America! Dr. King understood the value of The Dream. He reminded all of us of The Dream, nearly fifty years ago. As more Americans come together on what UNITES us, the more resources must be allocated to remind us of our differences so we continue to tear ourselves apart. Bottom line: His Arrogance may turn out to be the best thing that ever happened to us!

Molon labe!

http://www.thefiringline.com/HCI/molon_labe.htm

DOUBLE-CHECK YOUR CHILD'S HOMEWORK!!!



Note sent the next school day with 1st grader... Sorry Teacher. That's not a dance pole on stage in a strip joint! ...I work at Home Depot, ...that's me selling a shovel. -Mrs. Smith




Explanation needed: The cartoon outraged a bunch of the Darwinian liberals.

We're not sure what upset the individuals who were insulted by the dead monkey. It's evident a monkey could do the job of a Congressman and even a Senator, especially Michigan's elected Democrat members of Congress.

Obviously the stimulus bills that were put together by Congress made as much sense as what a monkey could drill-out while slamming a computer keyboard with his fist. So, what's the problem?

A TRANSCENDENTAL FORUM
FOR UNOBSTRUCTED
OPINIONS AND OBSERVATIONS



Contact us:
editor@nationaldefender.us

WE'RE ON THE WEB:
NATIONALDEFENDER.US



<http://ace.org/>



THIS SIDE UP BY J. C. POWERS

Obama claims he inherited this horrible economy, although he was one of the U. S. Senators who voted for the stimulus bill last fall. He is also a member of the Party of Economic Ineptness; Democrats.

The first stimulus package had no accountability strategies and it had effectively bailed-out the Federal Reserve Bank; the privately owned group of wealthy individuals who has "loaned" the United States money for decades. They own the money we lent them and essentially we borrowed the money from them to give to them.

The urgent stimulus packages dissolved hopes of our economic recovery. Just like the policies of FDR that kept the United States in the depths of the Great Depression for nearly a decade, the New Great Deal is propelling us head-on into a European-style economic disaster.

In order for our readers to understand a few of the political philosophies and our current political dynamics, perhaps some definitions would be in order:
<http://www.thefreedictionary.com/>

Republicanism - *A Republic is not a democracy!* The principles of a theory of government in which the supreme power rests in the body of citizens entitled to vote and exercised by representatives they elect directly or indirectly and by an elected or nominated president.

Democracy - *Saddam Hussein allowed voting; his was a democracy by definition.* Noun

1. A system of government or organization in which the citizens or members choose leaders or make other important decisions by voting
2. A country in which the citizens choose their government by voting.



Statism, stateism

1. The principle of concentrating major political and economic controls in the state.
2. The support of the sovereignty of the state. — **statist**, *n., adj.*

Na-tion-al-ism N.

1. Devotion to the interests or culture of one's nation.
2. The belief that nations will benefit from acting independently rather than collectively, emphasizing national rather than international goals. 3. Aspirations for national independence in a country under foreign domination.

Fas-cism (N.

1. Often Fascism

- A. A system of government marked by centralization of authority under a dictator, stringent socioeconomic controls, suppression of the opposition through terror and censorship, and typically a policy of belligerent nationalism and racism.

- B. A political philosophy or movement based on or advocating such a system of government.

2. Oppressive, dictatorial control.

Com-mu-nism N.

1. A theoretical economic system characterized by the collective ownership of property and by the organization of labor for the common advantage of all members.

2. Communism

- A. A system of government in which the state plans and controls the economy and a single, often authoritarian party holds power, claiming to make progress toward a higher social order in which all goods are equally shared by the people.

- B. The Marxist-Leninist version of Communist doctrine that advocates the overthrow of capitalism by the revolution of the proletariat.

You can click on the words in the models for links to more detailed definitions:

<http://nationaldefender.us/>



If you've ever been a victim of a bad collective bargaining agreement, like the union members working in the auto industry, you have an understanding how helpless you can feel and how irrelevant you really are when it comes to the big picture. We are in strapped in a child safety seat; we have no input in the where we are headed or how fast we are going to get there.

Do yourself a favor next payday. Have your spouse sit down with you and pull out your checkbook. Write a check made out to the appropriate benefactor for all those payroll deductions; you can void the checks when you're finished. Write one check for the federal income tax, one for the state income tax, one to FICA and one to the Social Security Trust Fund. If you and your spouse both work, go ahead and write a single check; it won't matter—you'll get the picture. Think about the waste of your money. If you're not already an ultra-conservative, you and your spouse will be before you write the third check.