

Yooper Scooper

All content is original, borrowed or stolen as a public service to Humanity!

Volume 2, Issue 5

February 2006

What Do the Democrats Know and When Did They Know It? By J. Powers

There are rampant and wild speculations about all sorts of conspiracies. These range from Operation Cloverleaf, which sprays our skies with some top secret formula that is for our own good, to the more mundane and less visible theories about Hale-Bopp being the Mother Ship. Sorting through the theories can be amusing or sometimes downright frightening for some. We know the chemtrails over America are real; we can plainly see them. We don't know the why, and that is what has us talking about them and conjuring up all sorts of possibilities.

Exactly like the visible chemtrails in the skies, we can see that the Democrats have set us up for defeat and failure. Hillary Clinton blames Bush for not equipping the military prior to invading Iraq, but it was the deep military budget cuts by Clinton that placed our troops in that quagmire.

We have also suspected all along that the Democrats were up to something that was far less than honorable. They want the law-abiding citizens disarmed, minorities to have access to tax-payer funded abortions, and the elderly and non-contributing citizens euthanized. Since they lost their power, they have been highly protective of maintaining a "balance" on the U. S. Supreme Court; keeping the liberal values on the bench.

Two recent occurrences have raised the eyebrows of many citizens regarding the agenda of the Democrats and their liberal allies. One was the USSC decision regarding Eminent Domain Amendment V of the Constitution by changing the word "use" to "purpose." But the most telltale sign that something was running amuck among the Democrats occurred during the State of the Union Address on Feb 1, 2006.

President George W. Bush mentioned the failure of Congress to fix Social Security and the Democrats rose in thunderous applause. Not only do they display little regard for the most vulnerable and innocent and show no respect for the elderly, but now we have a confirmation that they have no respect for the middle-aged. They want to keep stealing our money!

More pieces of the puzzle seem to fall into place. As the Democrats wag their fingers at "W" and the GOP, they remain in the background quietly working with the UN on globalization, harmonization, population control and other superior race ideologies ranging from eugenics to allowing the starvation of peasants in foreign lands. The subtext of all this is the deliberate removal of mothers from the household during the critical years.

At this point we also have to be circumspect about the occurrences before and after September 11, 2001. The Patriot Act came to the floor of Congress seemingly overnight. Several statements were made about how we must deal with terrorist threats, including Executive Orders by Clinton, which would have identified and perhaps even prevented 9—11. But then, we realize that the American citizens spoiled their plans for world domination when Al Gore lost by a few chads in Florida.

The Democrats attempted to rally their base in defiance and continue to do so to this very day. Deliberately undermining presidential authority, creating an impression among our troops that the country does not support them or the war in Iraq, but most frightening is the deliberate exposure of national security efforts, timed to be revealed on the heels of the Iraq election. Benedict Arnold may be the saint of the DNC. ~ J. Powers

NSA Monitoring of Electronic Communications By L. M. Krack

First order of business for the Senate must be an investigation on who leaked the NSA story to the NY Times. A Special Council with the powers of a grand jury must be dispatched to rip the treasonous anti-American a new one. The second order of business is to pass a law that is drafted to protect our rights while allowing the President to direct the NSA and CIA to be both vigilant and prudent under the exigent circumstances that come with terrorist activities.

However, all things being far from conducting business in the publics' interest and less than honest in DC, the Senate, who has no authority, ability, or for that matter, even the skills necessary to interpret the US Constitution, are grandstanding and putting on display yet another load of dirty laundry for the world to witness. There is no shame nor fortitude on either side of the aisle. Those in Congress have become nearly as embarrassing as the Clintons.

What is most disturbing is that few citizens have raised an eyebrow about the continuous compromise of our national security efforts. The giving of our ballistic missile secrets to China fell by the wayside. An apathy has drifted over this once great nation that spoke softly and carried a big stick.

We are no more than a collective group of whining liberals who now have more in common with France than we do with the America that had won World War I and II.

There is no national conscious. No sense of loyalty. No conviction to fight for what is right. We have become a nation of cowards that expect the government to jump in and save us, protect us and feed us. We are now on that dangerous trip to having the government take care of us and provide us with health care. We refuse to stand against anything that is wrong as we justify such cowardly and sick acts as abortion and euthanasia. We are no longer proud because we have absolutely no reason to be proud.

We must show our opposition to Islam, says Danish queen By Hannah Cleaver in Berlin

Queen Margrethe II of Denmark has called on the country "to show our opposition to Islam", regardless of the opprobrium such a stance provokes abroad.

Her comments further undermined the image of Denmark as a liberal haven for those seeking a new life in northern Europe.

The Danish government has already been accused of fuelling xenophobia by introducing measures which effectively closed the country to asylum seekers.

But in overtly political passages from an official biography published yesterday Queen Margrethe makes comments certain to complicate her nation's relationship with Muslims.



She said: "We are being challenged by Islam these years - globally as well as locally. It is a challenge we have to take seriously. We have let this issue float about for too long because we are tolerant and lazy."

"We have to show our opposition to Islam and we have to, at times, run the risk of having unflattering labels placed on us because there are some things for which we should display no tolerance."

"And when we are tolerant, we must know whether it is because of convenience or conviction."

The Queen, who turns 65 tomorrow and has reigned since 1972, wields no political power but does occasionally comment on political issues.

Denmark has seriously limited immigration in the past three years and the anti-immigrant Danish People's Party, an ally of the centre-Right government, has pushed through laws making it harder to bring in foreign spouses or qualify for asylum.

The queen told her biographer, Annelise Bistrup, apparently referring to Muslim fundamentalists: "There is something impressive about people for whom religion imbues their existence, from dusk to dawn, from

cradle to grave."

She said she understood how disaffected young Muslims might find refuge in religion. This tendency should be fought by encouraging Muslims to learn Danish so they could integrate better, she said.

"We should not be content with living next to each other. We should rather live together."

Editor's Note: Whom among you are aghast with the Queen's comments? I would suspect very few since most people have grown weary of the failures of Islam to reign in their murderers who are in a war urged on by man, not God. We knew it wouldn't be long before the entire religion was blamed. -JP

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/main.jhtml?xml=/news/2005/04/15/wqueen15.xml>

Democrat Party Hijacked by Special Interest

Senator Zell Miller left politics a few years ago and in his wake left a swell of debate within his beloved Democrat Party. The days of Herbert Humphrey are long gone. Any interest in hearing Herbert Humphrey during the 1968 Democrat National Convention was overshadowed by the television images of young protestors being beaten by the Chicago police just outside the convention center.

By 1972, George McGovern was on the scene and wanted to unite the Democrats. During the DNC Convention, the in-fighting was so bad on the floor that McGovern didn't get a chance to talk until almost dawn Eastern Time.

The factions that drove wedges between party members were no more than specific-interest groups. Unions, Anti-Vietnam, women's rights advocates and a few newly forming liberal groups with specific-interests were also vying for attention. They were environmentalists, abortionist and anti-capitalists.

In reality, Barry Goldwater, a GOP candidate facing the pro-war racist Lyndon Johnson may have said it best, "... Extremism in the defense of liberty is no vice, and let me remind you also, that moderation in the pursuit of justice is no virtue." Although Johnson had won in a landslide, the message of Mr. Goldwater was heard on campuses and in homes all across America.

What unraveled the Democrats in the 1960s and early 1970s was bonding the Republicans. The American citizens wanted representation for the people, not for special interest. But, by the time President Nixon left DC, the Democrats knew they had a good chance if they could appear moderate. Jimmy Carter, a peanut farmer who became Georgia's governor, won the election over Gerald Ford. Although Democrats believed Ford lost for pardoning President Nixon; the truth was that Ford had no backbone, and the fall of South Vietnam proved his inadequacies to America.

Little did America realize that a spineless Republican still has more fortitude than anybody the Democrats could come up with. Americans had pretty much been fed up after one of their Democrat presidents gave up the Panama Canal to the Communist Chinese and had a miserable failure in the Middle East. The Republicans would take charge of the White House again, but America for some reason still liked their Congressmen.

It took 12 years for the voters to trust another Democrat president. Well, actually, it was only about 42% of the people who trusted him because, although Clinton received 45 million votes, there were 59 million who didn't vote for him. It was Ross Perot who actually put Clinton in the White House

Following tradition, Clinton gave ballistic missile secrets to the Communists and was a miserable failure both domestically and internationally. In addition, he was a total embarrassment to the entire nation.

By 1994, the Republicans in Congress finally figured it out. They initiated the "Contract with America" which defined several key issues and the plan of action if the GOP took over both Houses of Congress. As the GOP was busy doing what they needed to do, they failed to keep an eye on the White House and also let down their guard by trusting Democrats.

By the year 2000, things had changed on the political landscape. Americans were once again weary of the constant failures and selling-out of America by the liberals. They filled all three houses with Republicans. Unfortunately, the GOP has yet to act like they're the winners and do what they were sent there to do.

The bottom-line is that the GOP, win, lose, or draw, are picked by the people. Those who earned the right to run under the Democrat Party banner are picked by the Caucus Elitists; the people who vote Democrat aren't smart enough to pick a person who puts the Party first.

Letter to the Editor—Sounding the Alarm

The old cliché that goes, “I don’t want to sound like an alarmist”, has gone by and now I do want to sound like an alarmist.

President George W. Bush sent his budget proposal over to Congress and in it is a \$3.5 million item to help protect 271,000 acres of UP “ecologically sensitive forest land.”

Governor Granholm and representatives of The Nature Conservancy have a legally binding purchase and sale agreement with The Forestland Group, LLC, to “protect” more than 271,000 acres through a working forest easement on 248,000 acres and acquisition of 23,338 acres in the Upper Peninsula.

The Northern Great Lakes Forest Project, the parcels stretch over

eight counties—from Chippewa to Ontonagon—and link together more than 2.5 million acres of protected federal, state and natural areas across the UP. The Forestland Group was the successful bidder on the land in a 2002 auction conducted by its former owner, the Kamehameha Schools of Hawaii.

The Michigan Natural Resources Trust Fund gleans royalties from gas and oil wells. MNRTF has a nice purse containing about \$37.3 million and has appropriated approximately \$29.5 million for 22 proposed projects in 2006, including the development rights for a “working conservation easement” on about 248,000 acres. This is in conjunction with the Northern

Great Lakes Forest Project.

Only around 14% of the property in Upper Peninsula remains in private hands. The rest of the property belongs to the State, (allegedly us), the feds, (also us), larger corporate properties placed in a CFR and now environmentalists groups.

All this has a lot more depth than the mere acquisition of land by groups of nature-loving environmentalists and concerned government agencies. There are several incremental steps being taken. First is the purchase or control of lands through buffer zones and easements. The second step is the depopulation of areas for environmental concerns. Because of the buffer zones and

easements, adjoining private property will become worthless. The third step is to begin mining operations; the UP is rich with deposits of gas, oil, uranium, diamonds, gold, nickel, and copper. The final step is the reclamation and protection of the property as promised. All the roads will be taken out and a continuous wilderness area will extend across the UP through Minnesota.

Finally, the environmentalists talk the elderly into giving up homesteads without telling them about the wealth that lies beneath their feet. Only in America!

Richard Abraham
Iron Mountain, Michigan

Thank you for your letter Richard. You summarized the movements in the Upper Peninsula very well. Here are some links:

<http://mott.org/news/detail.asp?newsid=347>—<http://www.michigan.gov/printerfriendly/0,1687,7-153-131601-00.html>

<http://www.forestlandgroup.com/news.html>—<http://www.grist.org/comments/soapbox/2006/01/18/williams/>

<http://www.deq.state.mi.us/documents/deq-ogs-gimdl-MAPS.pdf>—http://www.keweenawnow.com/news/tnc_celebrates_tip_03_09/tnc_celebrates_tip_03_09.htm

<http://nature.org/pressroom/press/press1728.html>—<http://nature.org/wherewework/northamerica/states/michigan/press/press1778.html>

The Disappointing Yoopers

The Mining Journal located in Marquette, Michigan had asked several locals about the recent appointment of Judge Alito to the United States Supreme Court. TMJ wanted to know if the appointment would shift the court to the right.

On the TMJ web site, there is the same question for an on-line poll. At the time of this writing, 357 people, or 62.9% of those participating in the poll thought the USSC would now lean to the right. The liberals have hoped for this result.

To refresh the memories of those Yoopers taking the poll, only four Justices opposed the rewriting of the Constitution to allow the government to steal your land for a public purpose, including the recently replaced Justice O’Connor.

That means, boys and girls, there are still five out of nine judges who are entrenched liberals. That means the liberals still have the majority. Somewhere down the road, if one of the liberal judges dies or quits the Bench, and a red-blooded American conservative is appointed, then the balance of the court may shift towards Americanism.

American Civil Liberties Union & National Organization of Women

Americans should have been highly suspicious when a group professing to jump in and help protect American’s Civil Liberties added the word “Union” at the end. What started out as being a potentially honorable organization of professionals dedicated to the principles of America, instead turned on the very nation that permits such organizations to exist in the first place. The ACLU has, case-by-case, systematically and intentionally, chipped away at the rights of the majority.

The United States, founded on the principles of equality and rule by the people, has been redefined and corrupted. While giving aid and comfort in creating a larger bureaucratic government, the ACLU has been pretending to represent the single individual, (wanted to use the term soul, but that implies a religion). In effect, the ACLU is the Commander and Chief of the communist movement in the United States. With each court decision in their favor and in the favor of one person, it extinguishes the American spirit.

The National Organization of Women was created with several honorable objectives, equal pay for equal work regardless of gender. They wanted more fairness in order to climb the corporate ladders and benefit from both an equal amount of respect and consideration in the economic world. Eventually, the liberal women in America forced the women who founded NOW out of power. The new breed of women wanted more power and authority.

The new NOW believes that the birth of a boy equates to rape of the mother when it passes through the womb. Most are lesbians because males don’t find them desirable as a sex partner. They believe it’s proper to go on record in support of killing an unborn baby while giving exclusive rights to the mother to determine whether or not the baby should live or die. In effect, they encourage, rather than discourage, both heterosexual and homosexual activity among those who have barely reached puberty and will go so far as to advocate the handing out of condoms in Junior High schools.

CALVIN AND HOBBS — AND MUHAMMAD by Ann Coulter

As my regular readers know, I've long been skeptical of the "Religion of Peace" moniker for Muslims — for at least 3,000 reasons right off the top of my head. I think the evidence is going my way this week.

The culture editor of a newspaper in Denmark suspected writers and cartoonists were engaging in self-censorship when it came to the Religion of Peace. It was subtle things, like a Danish comedian's statement, paraphrased by The New York Times, "that he had no problem urinating on the Bible but that he would not dare do the same to the Quran."

So, after verifying that his life insurance premiums were paid up, the editor expressly requested cartoons of Muhammad from every cartoonist with a Danish cartoon syndicate. Out of 40 cartoonists, only 10 accepted the invitation, most of them submitting utterly neutral drawings with no political content whatsoever. But three cartoons made political points

One showed Muhammad turning away suicide bombers from the gates of heaven, saying "Stop, stop — we ran out of virgins!" — which I believe was a commentary on Muslims'

predilection for violence. Another was a cartoon of Muhammad with horns, which I believe was a commentary on Muslims' predilection for violence. The third showed Muhammad with a turban in the shape of a bomb, which I believe was an expression of post-industrial ennui in a secular — oops, no, wait: It was more of a commentary on Muslims' predilection for violence.

In order to express their displeasure with the idea that Muslims are violent, thousands of Muslims around the world engaged in rioting, arson, mob savagery, flag-burning, murder and mayhem, among other peaceful acts of nonviolence.

Muslims are the only people who make feminists seem laid-back.

The little darlings brandish placards with typical Religion of Peace slogans, such as: "Behead Those Who Insult Islam," "Europe, you will pay, extermination is on the way" and "Butcher those who mock Islam." They warn Europe of their own impending 9/11 with signs that say: "Europe: Your 9/11 will come" — which is ironic, because they almost had me convinced the Jews were behind the 9/11 attack.

The rioting Muslims claim they are upset because Islam prohibits any depictions of Muhammad — though the text is ambiguous on beheadings, suicide bombings and flying planes into skyscrapers.

The belief that Islam forbids portrayals of Muhammad is recently acquired. Back when Muslims created things, rather than blowing them up, they made paintings, frescoes, miniatures and prints of Muhammad.

But apparently the Quran is like the Constitution: It's a "living document," capable of sprouting all-new provisions at will. Muslims ought to start claiming the Quran also prohibits indoor plumbing, to explain their lack of it.

Other interpretations of the Quran forbid images of humans or animals, which makes even a child's coloring book blasphemous. That's why the Taliban blew up those priceless Buddhist statues, bless their innocent, peace-loving little hearts.

Largely unnoticed in this spectacle is the blinding fact that one nation is missing from the long list of Muslim countries (by which I mean France and England) with hundreds of crazy Muslims experiencing

bipolar rage over some cartoons: Iraq. Hey — maybe this democracy thing does work! The barbaric behavior of Europe's Muslims suggests that the European welfare state may not be attracting your top-notch Muslims.

Making the rash assumption for purposes of discussion that Islam is a religion and not a car-burning cult, even a real religion can't go bossing around other people like this.

Catholics aren't short on rules, but they couldn't care less if non-Catholics use birth control. Conservative Jews have no interest in forbidding other people from mixing meat and dairy. Protestants don't make a peep about other people eating food off one another's plates. (Just stay away from our plates — that's disgusting.)

But Muslims think they can issue decrees about what images can appear in newspaper cartoons. Who do they think they are, liberals?

Editor's Note: Ann Coulter writes her own column and appears on FOX News. Ann just might be, no is, considerably smarter than Hillary Clinton; imagine that!
<http://www.anncoulter.com/cgi-local/welcome.cgi>

We Need Legislators Who Legislate By Jim Hafeman

It wouldn't do us any good to go back and try to figure out who authorized our government to provide charitable contributions to environmental groups or other non-profits that have no substantiated benefit to the nation. Chances are pretty good it started with the Great Society.

Perhaps its time those whom we send to Washington and to our state capitols begin acting like people entrusted to represent us.

The states and feds give out millions of our dollars to these so-called non-profit groups who reap the rewards while those who made this country great are ignored like a dead fly stuck between the windows. But, like the lifeless fly, we will end up being removed with a huge suction device and not given another thought.

There are so many critical issues that lay at the feet of the law makers, that most should be overwhelmed about where to start.

As it turns out, most are just too overwhelmed with their struggle to have some type of 2nd term platform to be concerned with anything else. Nothing gets done. In some cases, this may very well be a good thing, but with the Republicans in charge in DC and many states across the country, now is the time to take action and do what they were sent to their respective locations to do. First and foremost is the need to reign-in the bureaucratic machine and clip their liberal spending wings.

Next is to start handing back all that sovereignty, which the states have lost over the last several decades. If they fail to respond in DC, perhaps it's time that the various state legislatures revisit the Constitution and rewrite it to place all the power in the hands of the people, then the local governments, then the state and then, if we have any money left over or something that needs to be done on a national scale, we can assign it to those sent to DC. In a Republic, the sovereignty of each state must be respected and those elected to serve in the Nation's capitol must uphold it or expect to be removed from office.

Robber Land Barons In League With Government Devils By C. J. Williams

While American citizens are forced, under penalty of law, to pay income taxes to fuel the Federal Government Machine, the voracious beast has been forgiving debt owed to us by other countries.

Often labeled as “newly developing”, there’s nothing new about these countries. However, like America, environmentalists and government officials in other countries are socially engineering citizens to accept the pillaging of their natural resources with little or no personal financial reward to help them improve their “quality of life” as they, not the government, see fit. Also like our country, many citizens buck the system and refuse to be engineered.

Largely because Joe (in any language) Citizens can’t see the forest for the trees, they’ve enabled themselves to be hoodwinked into allowing their forestland and other resources to come under attack by the most invasive pests of all ... the Nature Conservancy and its Eco-green Army of facilitators and stakeholder groups. That’s happened not only in the Upper Peninsula, but other places, too.

Through what the Conservancy calls “unique financing”, a debt-for-land scheme has been contrived whereby a portion of a “developing” country’s debt owed to America can be discounted and bought by partners, which the Conservancy brings to the table. The Conservancy also wheels and deals with creditor banks to donate some of the debt so the new partners can purchase it at 50 to 90 percent of its true value, and sometimes less.

For example, when the first debt-exchange scam occurred in 1987, New York based Conservation International managed to pick up \$650,000 worth of Bolivian debt owed to America for a mere \$100,000. As part of the deal, the Bolivian government had to agree to set aside a large area of rainforest as a nature preserve. It also had to agree to inflict a program of UN-sustainable re-development upon its people.

Of course, right there rescuing a plethora of endangered, threatened, and sensitive species while also controlling millions of acres of rainforest was, and is, the Conservancy in living, icky-green, eco-color. How dare they brag about all the land they “protect and pre-

serve” when methods like this are used to get it!

By 1996, the Conservancy had engineered nearly \$1 billion worth of these “private-public” partnerships, not with their own money of course, but from the bottomless coffers of a couple of their partners, the World Wildlife Fund and Conservation International, in concert with a global lending institution.

The World Bank is one such lending institution. Headquartered in New York, the bank is a conglomeration of five international organizations willing to fund “land for debt” swaps for the Conservancy’s sweetheart deals with salivating despots who value the almighty buck more than the personal future of their country’s citizens.

The World Bank funds these schemes knowing that, while these debt-for-nature swaps dramatically increase funding for environmental protection, they do very little to reduce the debt of countries in hock right up to their papayas.

These partnership deals have been inflicted not only on “newly developing” Bolivians, but also citizens of Argentina, Costa Rica, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Guatemala, Honduras, Jamaica, Mexico, Madagascar, the Philippines, Venezuela, Zambia and other places where the Conservancy has since managed to gain control of even more land and resources coveted by global resource extraction corporations who could give a hoot about the environment.

To add to the Conservancy’s glory days in March of 1998 when Clinton and Gore were still beating their two-man eco-drum on Capitol Hill, the U. S. House approved the Tropical Forest Conservation Act (TFCA) proposed by Ohio Representatives Portman and Kasish. On its own Website, the Conservancy brags about playing an instrumental role in the passage of this legislation, but then look what it’s contrived to gain as a result.

The Act authorized forgiving \$325 million of debt over a three-year period for debt-for nature swaps. In return, newly “developing” countries had to be willing to establish forestry funds, preserve land, and agree to un-

dergo economic reform according to the principles of a regime that appears to bastardize Socialism strongly in favor of Fascism; total control of all aspects of life.

In his 2001 budget, Clinton proposed expanding the TFCA funding, it’s been ongoing, and it gives rise to the question, “Is this funding from tax dollars, a forestry fund America was forced to establish, or borrowed money that’s increased our own considerable national debt?”

The Act mandates that “projects” be carried out at the local level by non-governmental organizations (i.e. the Conservancy & its U.P. Forest Project), community organizations (i.e. chambers of commerce, or “Save the Endangered Snorklewort Coalition”), and people indigenous to an area prior to the arrival of “non-indigenous European settlers”.

Indigenous native tribes have bought into this because they’ve been led to believe land and water will be restored to ancestral times and they’ll have more say in its resource management. But, as the Wildlands Project Initiative moves along to completion they’ll have been raped twice because the grants they’ve been receiving will dry up and so will casino revenue.

So intent are some elected and appointed officials on redrawing a borderless North American Continent, that they’ve increased America’s debt by investing billions into “developing” countries south of our border. An Organization of American States exists that so far comprises 35 countries, including Canada. However, it’s being built not on personal freedoms or constitutional rights, but instead upon much of what’s ailed the world since mankind crawled out of a cave, avarice and power over others.

Without the tools our founding fathers laid out and hoped to protect and preserve for us through the Constitution of the United States of America, all men, regardless of race or creed, will bow to oppression at the hands of dictatorial tyranny.

In part, our Declaration of Independence reads: “WE hold these Truths to be self-evident, that all Men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness – That to secure these Rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving

their just Powers from the consent of the Governed, that whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these Ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or abolish it, and to institute new Government, laying its Foundation on such Principles, and organizing its Powers in such Form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their Safety and Happiness...But when a long Train of Abuses and Usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object, evinces a Design to reduce them under absolute Despotism, it is their Right, it is their Duty, to throw off such Government, and to provide new Guards for their future Security.”

In America, a country with billions of dollars to spare for nature preserves in “developing” countries, our own poor, sick, and elderly go begging; our rural infrastructure continues to crumble; and our children go wanting for a traditional education that focuses not on pantheistic environmentalism, but on the three R’s, as well as Family, Country, and God.

We appear to be dealing with dysfunctional government on many levels and from both parties filled with men and women more intent on lining their own pockets than on closing our southern border to illegal immigrants and drug smugglers.

There’s not enough money to build facilities to incarcerate murderers, rapists, and child molesters. There’s not enough to adequately fund schools or social service agencies whose job it is to educate and protect the most innocent and vulnerable Americans, the children. There’s no money to fund social security or restructure the renegade health care industry.

The list of America’s needs is far too long, but the bottom line is there’s very little jingle in the common man’s pocket after the tax onus is removed from his paycheck. And now we learn that our government is bartering debt owed to us by other countries so the Conservancy can take over their forests, too.

This is thinly veiled blackmail perpetrated by learned men and women who appear to be suffering from a psychopathological egocentricity manifested in pompous acts of disregard and disdain for others, posturing illusions of grandeur, and manipulative, self-serving behavior.

Shame on their deceit and shame us for putting up with it and them this long. ~ CJ

DISCLAIMER—KOA STATEMENT

The Yooper Scooper is a private newsletter to be exchanged among friends and like-minded individuals via the internet or through a hard copy printed at personal expense. Even though Democrats can campaign from the pulpit while Catholic Priests have to remain silent with threats of being removed from their non-profit status by the Democrats, the freedom of speech still has meaning and relevance among the people. We would like to take this opportunity to stress that if you take offense to the content of this newsletter you are probably indeed a descendent from monkeys. As for the rest of us, we hold the truths of God, Creationism, Free Will, Ten Commandments and the Constitution close to our hearts and within our souls.
~ J. Powers, Editor

Contact us:
yoopscoop@yahoo.com



<http://www.thoseshirts.com/>



- <http://www.renewamerica.us/columns/gaffney/060213>
- http://www.wnd.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=48845
- http://www.michigan.gov/dnr/0,1607,7-153-30301_31154_37215--,00.html
- http://www.michigan.gov/dnr/0,1607,7-153-10366_15383_15387-24666--,00.html
- <http://www.legislature.mi.gov/cdqmyt55tivuj355orh31grv/mileg.aspx?page=BillStatus&objectname=2005-sb-0855>
- <http://www.legislature.mi.gov/documents/2005-2006/billintroduced/Senate/pdf/2005-sIB-0855.pdf>
- <http://www.undp.org/dpa/pressrelease/releases/2006/20060128-book.shtml>
- <http://eco.freedom.org/el/20060202/lamb.shtml>
- <http://eco.freedom.org/el/20060202/joyce.shtml>
- <http://eco.freedom.org/el/20060202/beers.shtml>
- <http://nature.org/pressroom/files/tncmilestones.pdf>
- <http://www.theconservativevoice.com/ap/article.html?mi=D8EUN5880>
- <http://www.girc.us/strategy.html>
- http://www.girc.us/documents/GLRC_Strategy.pdf
- http://www.countrysidemag.com/issues/1_2006.htm#article4
- http://archives.foodsafetynetwork.ca:16080/Isnet/2006/1-2006/Isnet_jan_3.htm
- http://www.usmayors.org/USCM/us_mayor_newspaper/
- <http://www.rwjf.org/newsroom/newsreleasesdetail.jsp?id=10391>
- <http://www.lifeneews.com/bio1326.html>
- <http://www.grandforks.com/mld/grandforks/news/13320909.htm>

166445

Jobs *LOST* since Granholm became Governor
That's 1 job every 10 minutes
Isn't it time for a change?

Crafting "Gay" Children - An Inquiry Into the Abuse of Vulnerable Children
By: **Dr. Judith Reisman**
<http://www.rsvpamerica.org/crafting%20august%202001.htm>

La Amistad - Slave Sip America & Links
www.slaveshipamerica.com

The Pink Swastika: Homosexuality In The Nazi Party
<http://www.abidingtruth.com/pfrc/books/pinkswastika/index.php>

Ladies & Gentlemen; Meet Your Next President

GEN. H. NORMAN SCHWARZKOPF

COMMANDER,
OPERATION DESERT STORM

↓

"TRUE courage is being afraid, and going ahead and doing your job."

- Inducted: 1990 -

- <http://www.achievement.org/autodoc/page/sch0pro-1>
- <http://www.usdreams.com/Schwarzkopf.html>
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Norman_Schwarzkopf,_Jr.

America will not need some candy-striper in 2008; by then the only course we will have is one of survival. The limp-wrestled liberal Democrats have sold us out. The Republicans have not reacted.

They say there are three types of people; Those who make things happen, Those who watch things happen and Those who wonder what happened. Congress is the latter.

The only decisions left for the voters will be who do we send as his congressional support staff? It certainly won't be men-like people with earrings, obscene tattoos or who wear spandex. God help us!