

Yooper Scooper

U. P. Patriots

All content is original, borrowed or stolen as a public service to Humanity!

<http://www.biodieselcommunity.org/gettingstarted/> - <http://www.kingstonbiotech.com/> - http://www.velcura.com/news_highlights/ - <http://www.bio-link.org/centersMI.htm>

It's not what you were Taught, but what you've Learned

A retired couple decided to pay off their credit card, a debt of just over \$6,000. The credit card company did not credit their account so they called to ask why. The response was that under the Patriot Act any sizeable "pay-offs" of credit cards had to be approved by the Department of Homeland Security.

A rancher in Texas held illegal aliens at gunpoint until the authorities arrived. The ACLU jumped in and they sued. The rancher lost the ranch and the illegals now own property in the United States. Who says crime doesn't pay?

A Connecticut woman had no interest in vacating her home and property. Five Supreme Court judges said the local government has a right to confiscate land for public "purpose". The Congress and Senate didn't even blink, and so no impeachments are in the works against the justices. If you're rich, the Constitution is flexible

Senator Byrd stood on the floor of the Senate and held up a little booklet of the Constitution as he proclaimed a day of study for all pupils. Perhaps those in Congress should be required to have a class on the Constitution at least every two years, as well. Any veto SHALL be sent back to the originating chamber for reconsideration and they SHALL vote to override the veto. [Article I, Section 7, Clause 2]

Bill Clinton signed the Crime Bill supported by Democrats, including Bart Stupak and Carl Levin. The Crime Bill is a travesty to constitutional rights, even more so than the Patriot Act. <http://www.ncjrs.gov/txtfiles/billfs.txt>

The Inter-American Democratic Charter, signed in Lima, Peru on September 11, 2001, was sheltered from all media coverage by attacks in New York that morning. Info:

http://www.oas.org/charter/docs/resolution1_en.pdf

The United States has not been a people-run nation for years.

NAFTA, GATT, FTAA and CAFTA are only a few agreements that your government has entered into. No one thought it important enough to inform the citizens what they were thinking about doing. We have become a nation that is a corporate-government entity.

In years gone-by, corporations like Ford and mining companies would provide homes for their employees in areas where they worked. If you lost your job, you lost your home. That corporate program is making a come-back and Jennifer Granholm is gladly steering it into Michigan. What this means is that people will be clustered into a corporate community. Security officers will patrol the community streets since it will be corporate property. Those working and their families will be required to abide by corporate rules, and, as we well know, there are no constitutional guarantees in the workplace.

The citizens are having their wings clipped, one feather at a time, but the incremental devolution will leave us wondering how?

During our education years, we learned about the great things that had been sacrificed to make this nation what it was. We were taught government is on our side. Then, in the academics of higher education, we were taught that only the socialists in government were on our side. But ultimately, what we've learned during our lives in the real world is far more complex and quite different from what we were taught.

In politics, only those who are wealthy enough or alluring enough to be bought with big dollar donations are elected to office. The little guy is left at the county commission level, at best. So who out there are willing to represent us, the citizens?

We've taken a back seat to special interest and political parties, and this is especially true of the Democrats from the Upper Peninsula. [See Recommended Links] We've lost our independence because politicians have given up their independence. Those who fail to represent their base and the citizens need to be replaced.

America, The Dutiful

Roles and obligations. We all have them as individuals, as family members, and as citizens of the United States. Our roles and obligations occupy our work day and our leisure time.

What we are and who we are is often defined by our roles and obligations. Only the delusional will try to realign the principles that have cemented our lot in life.

A man cannot be a mother nor can a woman be a father. A worker knows how we do it and a supervisor knows why we do it. A key principle we must keep in mind if we need an answer to any "how" or "why" question — the right answers will be found at the level where the practice is dominant. It all comes down to the simple formulas; mechanics versus the reason behind the methodology, practice or philosophy.

Troops on the ground may not understand the why. They only need to be concerned with the mechanics of how to complete the mission; that's their role and obligation.

Experts evaluate the why and justify it; that's their role and obligation. Politicians who come from all walks of life are entrusted in the simple task of ensuring the why is both reasonable and necessary; that's their role and obligation.

In a system loaded with checks and balances, second-guessing and questioning the why doesn't compliment or successfully complete the how. As Americans, perhaps we need to reestablish ourselves as the ones who need to ensure the why is both reasonable and also necessary. Taxes, immigration, rules, regulations, laws and even the actions and inactions of the politicians must be justified.

Welcome to River City! Part II

By Katie O'Brien, UP Patriots Supporter

The funny thing is that those of us who remember our history remember a little deal called the Monroe Doctrine, which if our congress critters and president remembered, would render this whole mess moot! It provided as follows:

The Western Hemisphere was no longer open for colonization

The political system of the Americas was different from Europe

The United States would regard any interference in Western hemispheric affairs as a threat to its security

The United States would refrain from participation in European wars and would not disturb existing colonies in the Western Hemisphere

Moving on, President Jimmy Carter (1977-1981) signed the Panama Canal Treaty in 1977 and won his battle with the Senate in 1978 by ONE VOTE over the two-thirds majority required, relinquishing control of this shortcut through our neighbor's back yard by the year 2000. The Chinese now control both ends of the Panama Canal since they control the ports at each end, and have widened the canal to accommodate the larger vessels now crossing both oceans — which was the reason our congress critters and President Carter gave away the canal in the first place! In fewer than one hundred years, we have handed over to China what cost Americans around \$375,000,000, including the \$10,000,000 paid to Panama and the \$40,000,000 paid to the French company. Fortifications cost extra, about \$12,000,000. And don't forget the share of annual revenues now being paid to China instead of to the United States, which recovered the money we spent building

the darn thing! Now, we are revisiting building a 'dry canal' in Nicaragua (we spent an additional \$3,000,000 for rights in perpetuity) to replace the Panama Canal that our gubmint gave away. See

<http://www.speakeasy.org/~netero/nicaragua/drycanal/drycanal.htm> for the scenario and the money that is costing us! (We must be crazy!)

The largest port on our West Coast, Long Beach, was handed over to a Chinese company, COSCO, by President Clinton in 1997, AFTER the BATF proved that Chinese Army personnel and weapons were coming through the port in un-inspected containers! Take a look at the Website of Long Beach history at

<http://www.polb.com/about/history/1990.asp>.

Do you see any major American industries there, as in shipping terminals for US manufacturers shipping goods OUT of the U.S.? No, you don't! The only companies in the expansion of the Port of Long Beach are Pacific Rim companies in Pacific Rim Countries looking to profit from the goods coming INTO the U.S. — because we have to have more stuff! (Is the back of your neck getting red and that vein in your forehead starting to throb?)

Let's see if I have this right: we have our southern land borders under the control of Mexico; we have our western borders in the control of Pacific Rim nations (read China); our eastern and southern water borders are scheduled to be handed over to the UAE, and our northern borders are a sieve for all who can't get into the United States any other way! (AARRGGHH!)

Before you get your knickers in a knot, remember We The People? We are our government, or we were until we started swapping Round Tuits. We The People have turned into thousands of Round Tuits (you know, those

little round things you get for procrastinating). If you need clarification, please see <http://www.quantumenterprises.co.uk/roundtuit/history.htm> for the complete history of same.

We The People have turned into Americans who will write an occasional letter; send that telegram or fax or email; make that phone call — in exchange for the Round Tuit — but did nothing when our congress critters dropped the requirement for country of origin on all goods sold in our Country! Should anyone question our willingness to do what must be done to save our Country, we wave a trusty Round Tuit to show We The People we have done our bit. It is up to those with no Round Tuits to do their bit! (Harrumph, harrumph!)

We The People are living in a Country that can no longer clothe or shoe its people because We The People have abrogated that awesome responsibility shared with and handed to us by our Founding Fathers. We The People talk about the Constitution, but didn't raise a squawk when the U.S. Army went to an Italian gun requiring "international" ammunition, tolling the death knell for our own gun manufacturers. We The People say we love our Country, but didn't raise a stink when our military went to a Chinese manufacturer for our uniforms because our gubmint drove out of business any textile manufacturers who could handle that large an order. So, now, We The People wear shoes from China, blue jeans from China, talk on Chinese telephones, and so on, praying fervently that the Chinese do not lose their enchantment with all things American because our continuing economic solvency is tied to the approval of China. But we have those Round Tuits! Teddy Roosevelt must be spinning in his grave!

We The People is dead; love live

Me The People. Until Me The People — you, me, each one of us — accepts responsibility for what We The People have done by our apathy and silence — step up to restore our Nation, her industries, her borders, her integrity, America will continue her slide to obscurity and finally become a footnote in History of Governments, right beside Rome, Greece, and all those civilizations that faded into nothingness because of apathy and decay from inside, not enemies from the outside.

This Me The People doesn't want that for my America! This Me The People wants us to be the America of Katharine Lee Bates, and it breaks my heart that we can't say "Thine alabaster cities gleam, undimmed by human tears" anymore after 9/11 because of the bullsh*t that has been done by our gubmint in the name of We The People! This Me The People wants us to be the America of Teddy Roosevelt that meets the world with a big smile and quiet voice, leaning on that "big stick", but this Me The People can't do it alone; you can't either. Me The People needs to link up with every other Me The People we can muster to restore America to Americans for Americans. It won't happen until we get up off our dead rear ends and say to one and all, especially our gubmint, that wonderful sentence attributed to Robin Williams:

"The Statue of Liberty is no longer saying 'Give me your poor, your tired, your huddled masses.' She's got a baseball bat and she's yelling, 'You want a piece of me?'"

Based on the foregoing, there aren't that many pieces of us left so I am asking: are you with this Me The People or do you just want to go back to sleep this election year, clutching your Round Tuit? If the little bit of history I have laid out here does not make you good and mad, I don't know what will! I repeat what I have said so often here: We The People or Me The People? Pick One!

Residents of Michigan are represented in Congress by 2 Senators and 15 Representatives.

Member Name	DC Phone	DC FAX	Email
Senator Carl Levin (D- MI)	202-224-6221	202-224-1388	http://levin.senate.gov/contact/index.cfm
Senator Debbie A. Stabenow (D- MI)	202-224-4822	202-228-0325	http://stabenow.senate.gov/email.htm
Representative Bart Stupak (D - 01)	202-225-4735	202-225-4744	http://www.house.gov/stupak/IMA/issue2.htm

Send in the Clowns; Better Yet, Send Them Home By J. Powers

Have you ever been to a movie that you thought you had wasted your money on seeing? Did you buy the video?

Every election season we hear the same song and dance at the same dog and pony shows. And, right on cue, just as regular as the U. P. mosquitoes, they will take our money and send some home to show what good people they are.

It may not be the same all over the nation, but here in the 1st Congressional District of Michigan, the Democrats blame the Republicans for any failures the Democrats have had; even when the Democrats had full control they called them obstructionists. The few good things they may have done they'll take credit for in a heartbeat, that is until they learn it was a bad idea.

In reality, there is no difference between Democrat candidates and third grade students. No one wants to take the blame. They bicker and complain and in general, forgetting everything learned in kindergarten, they no longer play well with others. On the other side, GOP candidates run on their ideas and refuse to blame others for their own misgivings.

However, Republicans are quite capable of pointing out the facts and hope the voters are intelligent enough to figure it out. While the Dems are out on the campaign trail with their blame-game and stirring the emotions of their followers, the Republicans are advocating ideas and dominating the free-thinking, intellectual, and independent aspects of elections.

Time for a New Constitution for the United States of America By Jim Hafeman

Here's an idea, let's rewrite the US Constitution and put both the people's rights and their responsibilities in Article I; the Judicial Branch, which is the smallest and supposed to be responsible for ensuring that the rights of the people are protected in Article II; the Legislative Branch in Article III; and the Executive Branch in Article IV.

Here's how it breaks down; the New Constitution creates a Council of Governors, which acts in unison with the President. They have veto authority and may override the President. The Legislative Branch, which has the authority to override both a presidential and Council of Governors' veto, will consist of those members sent to the State Capitols. They will meet and act on items of national concern and their respective speakers from both houses shall meet once per year in Washington, DC to act on the legislation they were sent to take care of by their respective houses. Failures will be dealt with at the state level.

That means there will be 50

House members and 50 Senate members, meeting separately, but functioning the same way they do now without all the unnecessary expense, corruption, and lack of accountability.

Each State will be solely responsible for raising taxes. Of the taxes collected, 25% will go to supporting the national efforts, like the military and national and international areas of concern, except the UN and foreign countries that don't support us.

Another 25% will be collected and then re-distributed evenly among the states; the least rural state would receive the same "common national tax disbursement" as the largest, most populated states.

Those monies will be used to build and maintain the state's infrastructure and provide for the funding of projects that the state would not otherwise be able to afford. No more strings attached or sucking-up needed. Sovereignty of the states will be reestablished.

All law enforcement functions will revert back to the states and state attorneys general. There would be no national police like the FBI. Security operations would be managed at the state levels and they would be solely responsible for their own welfare, including any environmental concerns and other health related issues.

The states would enter into a borders agreement with each other requiring that any agency wanting to investigate or make an arrest in another state must notify and work with the state in question. States could have a fleeing felon law that mandates another ten years in their prison for crossing into their state.

Those states along international borders would be responsible for overseeing customs and border patrol. The monies to do so would come out of a fund for military, national and international areas of concern. Every issued visa would need to be approved by the state and overseen by the county or parish where a visa holder will stay.

In the area of unscrupulous taxation, like we have at the national level and in Michigan, it would be up to the citizens of the states to decide what types of taxes there would be. One thing that must be done is the elimination of the payroll tax; everyone would have to write their own income tax, FICA, and Medicare checks and send them into the state each payday. Within the first year, all of the Democrats will have been lynched and the Republicans will either fully align themselves with their conservative base or be hung, as well.

There is no doubt that we have reached a point in this country where we must create another Continental Congress dedicated to the principles of sovereignty, citizens rights, and government accountability. The big problem is that we have no statesmen left and we can't trust politicians to appoint people or sit in session on our behalf. Ultimately, we will have to do it ourselves and we will have to put it on our ballots, and the chief elections officer in each state will have to ratify it. ~JH

**Scroll down to the Triangles: http://hafeman.com/GCM2004WEB_files/page0001.htm

Firing Squad Needs to Prepare for Execution of the Treasonous

It's been too long since the United States executed someone for treason. Ethel & Julius Rosenberg were the last, and the death penalty for espionage has not been imposed since 1953. We need to rally behind the death penalty, not for those foreign spies doing their job, but for those Americans who would sacrifice American lives for personal or financial gain.

The way to convey the need for protecting our secrets is to have some public executions. Pack a picnic basket, bring the kids, and watch as America brings justice to those who would betray her. The 2002 conviction of Robert Hanssen should not have been a life in prison sentence, but instead, a nice volley of gunfire at the base of the Washington Monument before a cheering patriotic crowd.

The days when both the arrogant aristocrats and those who would betray the people were beheaded by the axe or guillotine are behind us, unfortunately. We just don't seem to take betrayal seriously anymore. We certainly wouldn't be where we are today if we had the fortitude to execute both of the Clintons for the FBI files, Los Alamos, Lippo connection, and for staining our Oval Office carpet.

All those we entrust with the public safety have taken the Jimmy Baker approach and covered beneath their desks. In the most recent espionage scandal, someone told the NY Times about the NSA spying on those connected with terrorism. Whomever we ultimately find guilty of such a treasonous act must be killed in a public forum to help dissuade other treasonous dregs.

Where are we heading? Ask a Commie

Many people throughout the world have been subjugated by an intolerant, vicious and self-serving totalitarian government, not by choice, but by birth. In most instances these types of governments are Communist or remnants of Nazism. What had manifested itself during the rise and fall of communism in the European Block nations was the prohibition of religion and religious artifacts.

We here at the Yooper Scooper have warned Americans that it will not be long before the ACLU and other communists groups ban the crucifix from church steeples because it offends the non-believers. At the same time, the ACLU will fight to protect Muslims and other violent groups. Where church bells once rang, we will hear the call to Muslim prayer over loud speakers. Hamtramck, Michigan exemplifies the model.

In Hamtramck there are five (5) daily calls to Muslim prayer over loudspeakers. Rather than just encouraging the faithful to tune into a radio station so they don't miss the call, they broadcast it throughout the city. As our troops learned in Iraq, calls to prayer often included a call to ambush and murder. But, how is this different than the ringing of church bells in a call to arms during our own Revolution?

Avoiding any complexity, the difference is that our ancestors rang the bells, not the British. The weak minded insurgents that intrude into Iraq or are left over from the dictatorial regime have no respect for people, cultures or "infidels". This is no more than a communist-based philosophy shrouded in religion. Totalitarianism, whether it's a Theocracy or just Communism, is still all about control.

Religious Symbols Removed: <http://www.americanchronicle.com/articles/viewArticle.asp?articleID=6744>

Hamtramck, Michigan: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hamtramck,_Michigan

Michigan should be asking: What would John do? Nolan Finley /March 12, 2006 The Detroit News

John Engler's legacy is taking a licking from those determined to absolve Gov. Jennifer Granholm of any responsibility for Michigan's nearly four-year slide.

"Engler left her a mess," goes the mantra from the governor's apologists. And incredibly, enough Michigan residents have bought the excuse to keep Granholm's job approval ratings above 50 percent, even while a majority thinks the state is heading in the wrong direction under her leadership.

A gullible public is the best friend of a weak politician. Those who believe Granholm is struggling under the weight of Engler's incompetence are ignoring the historical facts.

Engler left solid house

When Engler left office after 12 years in 2002, Michigan enjoyed an as-good-as-it-gets AAA bond rating.

Unemployment was below the national average, something that hadn't happened before he took office and hasn't happened since.

Granholm walked in the door to find a balanced budget, thanks to executive order cuts Engler made in the last three months of his term in response to a deteriorating economy.

She also was handed a state that was far healthier than Engler found when he arrived and immediately had to cover a \$330 million budget shortfall.

Under Engler's leadership, Michigan cut taxes for both businesses and individuals, and slashed the state payroll as well.

Unlike the current governor, who promised to make education a priority, Engler never cut university appropriations. And he trimmed K-12 spending only in the last months of his term, and then only after a 10-

year run in which funding for public schools increased at three times the rate of inflation.

Michigan's slide continues

There's no denying that Granholm walked straight into the collapse of the American auto industry, which continues to have an enormous negative impact on Michigan's economy.

But that can't by any stretch be blamed on John Engler.

Still, if you believe Engler left her a state in shambles, the next question has to be, "What's Granholm done to clean it up?"

What one problem has she solved? Can she point to anything as significant as the Proposal A property tax reform, which Engler pushed through a Democratic-controlled House?

Engler wasn't perfect. He could have done more structural cost-cutting. But reform is tougher in good times. The impetus for change is greatest when times are bad.

They can't get much worse than they are now. The desperation in the state should be an opportunity for Granholm to make the difficult political decisions necessary to reverse Michigan's course. That's what she promised to do when she sought the office.

Obviously, voters who chose Granholm over Engler's lieutenant governor were looking for a different direction.

But it's a safe bet they didn't expect that direction to be backwards.

Those who remember the strong, decisive leadership of the Engler years must be asking the question now, "What would John do?" *Editor's Note: The truth will set you free!*

Nolan Finley is The News' editorial page editor. Contact him at nfinley@detnews.com or (313) 222-2064.

<http://www.detroitnews.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20060312/OPINION03/603120360/-1/ARCHIVE>

Taking American Land and Rights – How It Works By Nancy Levant

Why the “New World” is so difficult to understand is not as complex as one might guess. While we naturally focus upon local issues and concerns such as community development, forest access, sportsmen’s rights, etc., one does need to understand the “whys” of local political decisions, and the decisions of local commissions and councils. There is rhyme and reason to our loss of rights, land, and public opinion.

Consider the following:

Every American citizen, no matter where you live, MUST buy your county’s Plat Book. Plat Books are maps that identify the owners of each and every parcel of land in your counties. You cannot know what is going on behind the scenes unless you buy your county Plat Book. Begin there.

You will then discover that most of the land in your counties is now owned by 1) state or federal government or 2) land development companies or 3) land “trusts” or “land funds,” or 4) environmental organizations or 5) large corporations.

If you are lucky enough to have several Plat Books covering a 2, 3, 5, or 10-year period (Plat Books are published incrementally), you will see a connection between land trusts, land development companies, land funds, and corporations. You will discover that they buy and sell to each other, and that they change the names of their organizations on a regular basis.

Then, if you go to the websites of these land organizations, you will discover that they are “partnered” with 1) each other, and 2) with larger global corporations, and 3) sometimes with local universities and technical colleges, and 3) with “environmental” organizations.

In other words, you will find that the buying and selling of land in your counties is a highly organized business bureaucracy that circulates and perpetuates “profit and control” between revolving door “partnerships.”

But what are “partnerships?” In a word, “business” has changed in the United States. On television commercials, I’m sure you’ve heard

the term “partners.” It sounds nice – like cooperative business ventures for the good of the public. However, nothing can be farther from the truth.

Partnerships, stakeholding, and NGOs (non-governmental organizations) are America’s (and the world’s) new governmental bureaucracy system. This system is the brainstorm of the United Nations and was defined in a document called Agenda 21, which means Agenda for the 21st Century.

Agenda 21, in a nutshell, mandated that all countries that were U.N. members implement all Agenda 21 requirements into their nations and governmental structures. The U.S. is a member of the United Nations, and therefore we were required to implement Agenda 21.

In the U.S., Agenda 21 is called by different names. We call it “sustainable development,” “sustainable communities,” “Local 21,” “Smart Growth,” and a whole bevy of other names. However, all these governmentally imposed programs and changes that we now see in our communities (and worldwide) are upon the insistence of the U.N. as outlined in the Agenda 21 document.

First and foremost, Agenda 21 mandates that there will be NO ownership of private property – worldwide. The U.N. believes that all land, watershed systems, and natural resources must be owned and administered by “government.” To American people, this is what we consider to be Communism. We believe that the ownership of property is tied directly to freedom.

As the United States set up and is the primary financial supporter of the United Nations, the U.N. had to come up with a plan to make palatable their right to confiscate our privately owned land. But how did they accomplish that plan?

Masterfully, the United Nations, with their partners (the World Bank, the World Trade Organizations, and the International Monetary Fund, and global corporations and groups), began funding “environmental” science organizations and universities with grant dollars. By doing so, they also formed “partnerships”

with eco-organizations and scientists, and a master plan evolved.

Under the direction of Agenda 21, a massive funding web of partnerships, stakeholders, and NGOs, a “grant-hired” eco-doomsday scenario was created and served to manipulate American people into 1) giving up their privately owned properties for the good of “sustainable” nature, and 2) to lay the groundwork for the “partnership-stakeholding-NGO bureaucracy. It worked like a charm.

Once you buy your county Plat Books, you will clearly see that there is next to no property left to buy in the United States. It has already been purchased by state and federal government, land development companies, land trusts like The Nature Conservancy, and land fund organizations – all of which are “partners” in the greatest land and natural resource confiscation scheme in the history of the world. In a nutshell, we are witnessing bureaucratic nation conquering.

These initiatives are operating in every town, burg, rural, and wilderness area in the United States. All state and local politicians, being beholden to federal laws and money, were forced to become a part of the Agenda 21 mandate for ending all ownership of private property.

Equally, the professional sciences of “ecology” and “conservation” were bought by the Agenda 21 system, and are now solely funded by Agenda 21 “partners” in land confiscation.

On the federal level, you will find the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Defense, the Department of the Interior, the Department of Natural Resources, and all three Executive levels of government under the control of the United Nations. All treaties, Memorandums of Understanding, and alliances with the U.N. trump the Constitutional Laws of the United States. This is important, folks – international law “trumps” national law. “Treaties” trump national law. In other words, our politicians, agreeing to be members of the

United Nations, and signing onto Agenda 21, suspended our Constitutional rights AND our rights to own private property. This, my friends, is fact of law.

At the state level of government, our state governors, who hope to retain the financial support of the federal government, have also agreed to implement Agenda 21 into each and every one of our 50 states. This includes our state universities.

And now we come to our local levels of government, our local colleges and universities, and our local movers and shakers, which we well know are the affluent people who are our county commissioners, councilmen, and businessmen. All the affluent locals, in efforts to gain dollars from their state governments, and to be privy to the endless money via international, federal, and state grants for “land” ventures, have signed onto the new “partnership-stakeholding-NGO” system of business, because that new bureaucracy is how wealth, power, and money works in the New World. In truth and fact, if you aren’t a part of this new governmental bureaucracy, which includes grant money and non-profit status, you are 1) not going to succeed financially, and 2) you are not going to gain any political or local power.

We are operating under a new form of government. It is the United Nations-defined form of government. Our Constitutional government has been overtaken by international law, and we, the people, are systematically losing not only our private property, but our access rights to our wilderness areas, as well.

It’s all dressed up in “love of nature and/or sustainability” language, but the facts remain the same. Private property is to end, and this is why, via your county Plat Books, you will see that there is literally next to no land left for us to buy in our country. This is why you are seeing “access restrictions” being imposed daily and all across our nation. This is why we are being herded into “planned communities” where we do not own the land. Agenda 21 originally defined (*Continued on page 6*)

CONTINUED: Taking American Land and Rights – How It Works By Nancy Levant

these planned communities as “human settlements.” How many land development companies are now in your areas?

This is why deer and cattle populations are being purposefully killed off by “reintroduced” wolf and cougar populations, but to name a few of the land confiscation “partnering” webs of deceit. The intent is to get us off our private and public lands.

Our “New World” is a new government. It is composed of European central banks, the

Bilderberg Group, the Council on Foreign Relations, the Trilateral Commission, the World Bank, the World Trade Organization, the International Monetary Fund, the World Court, the United Nations, and many other global organizations, groups, people, and corporations. This system was systematically set into play over the last century, and now it has overtaken the United States – thanks to our elected – who agreed to exchange our sovereignty, our way of life, our religion and beliefs,

and our Constitutional government and rights for a hybrid-Socialism/Communism. We now live under new rules, which have changed everything from our children’s educations to our rights to honest science, our health and privacy, and even our personal and public rights and opinions.

Our New Government is a combination corporate-financial system, with human beings as highly controlled servants to profit. Our New Government has created a techno-society,

where we are highly “managed” creatures – much like chipped and reintroduced wolves and “invasive” species. We are also being chipped on a daily basis, and are governmentally and corporately encouraged to do so. We are in tremendous trouble and we are vulnerable to this new techno-society with its non-stop chemtrail spraying, manufactured super-viruses, weather control, spying technologies, and to our elected who are also loyal to the mass human de-population plans and mandates of Agenda 21.

Training Versus Education

When we think of some of the roles our government plays in our daily lives, education comes to mind, and then some of the various services they offer. The distinct difference is that most states require oversight and some form of certification for people engaged in the education aspects of our government. The same holds true for those who are considered trainers. But are the two equal?

Education is academically based and although there is often some training involved like gym, shop, and typing classes, the remainder of the programs “educate” rather than train.

Training involves developing specific skills to complete a specific task. For example, the FBI Academy trains future agents and invites selected law enforcement officers to attend their training programs.

In higher education, there are two distinct two-year degrees at the college level; an Arts Degree and an Applied Science Degree. Although both have academic requirements, the Applied Sci-

ence degree often has specialized courses that provide training. The training degrees are often considered Vocational Ed or Training programs. Electrician, cosmetology, dental hygienists, etc., are examples.

In Michigan, the Wizard Granholm is suggesting that education programs in high school require a foreign language. Although we have to concur that no longer does mere possession of a high school diploma suggest a student has actually earned a high school diploma and perhaps we need to rethink our education system, we cannot apply one educational curriculum to serve everyone.

We understand Granholm’s desire to have a communist system that would allow the knowledge brokers to pre-determine a child’s educational and professional future, but what’s good for a socialist is not good for the diverse, melting-pot type of individuals in the United States.

A foreign language could be an option beginning with the kindergarten program, but which language do we teach? Spanish?

Which version? Spain’s or Wetback Mexican?

There is one compelling argument for a drastic change in our approach to education. It has been known for years that in a training program total immersion works best and there are steps that ultimately lead to a fully trained and qualified individual. While reviewing our education programs, we can see clearly that university students achieve high scores in their favorite areas of study. This indicates that an interest evaluation may be necessary.

Many excellent students have their GPA reduced because of liberal arts programs. Most of these programs are taught by highly arrogant individuals who believe students enrolled in these required courses should have the same capability of learning and understanding the various components as those who major in the program. In reality, some people can read and play music. In Music in Society courses, tin-ears who may appreciate music, but have no capacity to distinguish one beat from another, except in generalized terms, and are given the same final exam as the music majors.

Beginning in First Grade, or even before, pupils should be taught one or two subjects per week. A simple test to ensure they have mastered the subject(s) must be required before moving to the next level. A pupil could repeat his weak course every eight weeks until the subject material is properly learned.

What’s important to remember is that even students enrolled in a vocational program may decide to enter the academic field in order to get promoted or because their area of expertise requires it. Either way, students need to be as prepared as possible for both routes.

In many instances today, students are merely passed along through the system, completing the minimum requirements. In that process the students who may excel in some specific areas are often exceptionally weak in other areas. So it comes down to a simple matter of practicing fairness in our education programs; requiring students to “earn” their diplomas and degrees based on their abilities and needs, and not the government’s predetermined prejudice.

NEXT ISSUE: Minimum Wage Increase to Hit Consumers and Business Owners in Michigan

NATIONAL HERITAGE AREAS AND CORRIDORS

By Joyce Morrison, U.P. Patriot supporter

Our government is spending on invasive programs at an unprecedented rate while the nation is deep in debt. Property rights are being violated and our nation is being turned into a zoned, controlled state.

The following report speaks in financial terms of borrowing and spending - never in saving as you and I have to do. It is beyond our ability to comprehend how income tax alone can continue to cover this extravagance.

The March 6 *Bloomberg Report* said, "The U.S. Treasury today took steps to avoid slamming into the government's legal borrowing limit and to make sure the sale of a 10-year note goes ahead this week."

The report said the U.S. debt on March 2 was \$25 million short of the \$8.184 trillion limit set by Congress. The Treasury said in January it plans to borrow \$188 billion from January to March, the most ever for a single quarter. Last month Bush sent Congress a \$2.77 trillion budget request for fiscal 2007 that calls for a deficit of \$354 billion, compared with a record of \$423 billion forecast this year.

"Congress needs to raise the debt limit before it goes on recess March 20," Treasury Spokesman Tony Fratto said. The Treasury estimates it will borrow \$427 billion in fiscal year 2006.

These figures are far too astronomical for me to understand, but it sounds like we're in deep debt. I do know that we have no gold or silver standard and, as we sell our national debt to the Chinese, or whoever is buying our debt these days, we need to ask the question, "What are we using for collateral?"

This being said, we continue to fund unnecessary U.N. Agenda 21 programs to lock up our land. The programs are incentive driven (grants) because "they" are counting on "greed" being man's weakness. Whether it's your city council, your county government, stakeholders serving on governing committees, state

officials, federal legislators or bureaucrats, it can be summed up as: "It's all about money, and THEY WANT IT."

My solution to a great deal of government debt would be to do away with the billions of dollars given as grants. Grants come with dictates about how that money is to be spent, but "grant hungry" elected officials don't care - THEY WANT IT - regardless of strings attached.

Those who don't care about losing all local control will just love Heritage Areas. They're popping up their ugly heads again and again, and provide some of the most effective federal zoning measures that can be used.

Legislators are in favor of Heritage Areas since they can then get their hands on large amounts of pork to bring to their district...and that buys them votes. There's no such thing as "free" money, and pork-gorging legislators are selling your private property rights, for a mere pittance, to a socialist form of governance.

The National Park Service is in charge of the National Heritage Areas. If you've followed the actions of the NPS when it comes to inholders, you should become very uncomfortable if you live in a designated or proposed Heritage area.

Along West Virginia's "New River", a designated Scenic River, the NPS controls public, as well as private land. Their goal is to remove homes and farmsteads from the view shed because they "clutter" the scenic view.

In Washington, D.C. this year, 10 study bills have been introduced regarding eight potential Heritage Areas. There have been 26 designation bills introduced covering 17 areas and 11 bills proposed to amend existing legislation.

The most alarming is the Mississippi River National Heritage Area, provided for in senate bill S-1721. A report by Carole La-

Grasse of the Property Rights Foundation of America entitled [*Surgically Removing the Aorta of America -The Mississippi River Corridor*](#) is a report that will shock even those willing to sell out a piece of America for a Heritage Area grant.

LaGrasse said, "The Mississippi Corridor project is an indication of how all-powerful the environmentalists believe that they have become. This deadly program culminates twenty years of interfering with the rights of people to private property and the pursuit of happiness. The environmentalists' poisoned scalpel will cut into the heartland of the United States of America, the 2,500 -mile long Mississippi River bed, potentially the entire area of ten states. It will dissect the jewel of America under every imaginable guise, from cultural preservation to biodiversity."

Another bill, S-2114, relates to the Mississippi River "Confluence National Heritage Corridor." The proposed Illinois/Missouri multi-jurisdictional legislation is sponsored by Senator John Talent of Missouri who had better be ready to "take the heat" from his constituents in Missouri, as they're organizing to do battle over this bill.

Another Heritage bill, the "Abraham Lincoln Heritage Area" (HR-1192, S-973), will gut the center of Illinois. It has bi-partisan sponsors - both conservative and liberals who want to bring home the pork - regardless of the high price that will be paid to get it, and it sure makes one wonder "What are they putting in the water in Washington?"

Saying, "It's in our nation's interest that this canal be modernized," President Bush is advocating the updating and widening of the Panama Canal's \$10 billion project. But, the United States doesn't control the Panama Canal.

What about the lock and dam project in the United States that's desperately needed to update the Mississippi River water system so critically important to our nation's infrastructure? Wouldn't it be in "our nation's interest to modern-

ize that instead?"

Yes, it would, but the president said the deteriorating locks and dams on the Mississippi and Illinois Rivers could last another 50 years and so he cut funding for them from the 2007 budget.

Could this decision have had anything to do with keeping the rivers pristinely free of commerce on behalf of the environmentalists and their plans for a "Mississippi Heritage Corridor?"

Tourism is the big "sell" for designating Heritage Areas and Scenic Byways. While researching for a *Range Magazine* article, I interviewed residents of a small Illinois town where an Economic Development authority was using the "tourism pitch" to establish trails, etc. These residents didn't have "stupid" written on their foreheads. They saw through the ruse and said, "get real - we have nothing anyone wants to see unless maybe where Chicago dumps its sludge. We need REAL jobs here."

In "**The Port Sell-Out and the Dismantling of America**", by Jones and Watson, the authors wrote:

"As a country we are not just being robbed of our ability to create wealth, we are being robbed of our infrastructure, our land and our capacity to work the land. Your currency, your future and your sovereignty is systematically being dismantled, looted and sold to the highest bidder. The taxpayer pays for and builds the infrastructure only for foreign lobbyists to pay off corrupt politicians who 'lease' the infrastructure or outright sell it to the foreign lobbyists for 50, 60, 70 years.

The continued weakness of the dollar allows foreign entities to step in and buy more and more infrastructure while American families work three or four jobs just to get by."

I urge you to research the Heritage Corridors and Heritage Areas issue; to contact your legislators and tell them you DO NOT want this nation divided into zoned and controlled Heritage Areas where citizen's rights can and will be violated.

DISCLAIMER—KOA STATEMENT

The Yooper Scooper is a private newsletter to be exchanged among friends and like-minded individuals via the internet or through a hard copy printed at personal expense. Even though Democrats can campaign from the pulpit while Catholic Priests have to remain silent with threats of being removed from their non-profit status by the Democrats, the freedom of speech still has meaning and relevance among the people. We would like to take this opportunity to stress that if you take offense to the content of this newsletter you are probably indeed a descendent from monkeys. As for the rest of us, we hold the truths of God, Creationism, Free Will, Ten Commandments and the Constitution close to our hearts and within our souls. ~ J. Powers, Editor

Contact us:

yoopscoop@yahoo.com



RECOMMENDED LINKS

Stupak: <http://www.opensecrets.org/politicians/summary.asp?cid=N00004196>

Prusi: http://miboecfr.nicusa.com/cgi-bin/cfr/contrib_anls_res.cgi?can_last_name=Prusi.+Michael&sched=*%&sort_1=common_name&sort_2=amount&sched=*

Adamini: http://miboecfr.nicusa.com/cgi-bin/cfr/contrib_anls_res.cgi?can_last_name=Adamini.+Stephen&sched=*%&sort_1=common_name&sort_2=amount&sched=*

Rosenberg Trial: <http://www.crimelibrary.com/rosen/rosenmain.htm>

Robert Hanssen Spy case: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Robert_Hanssen

NSA Leak: <http://www.newsmax.com/archives/ic/2006/2/7/110804.shtml?s=ic&s=lh>

Democratizing the Global Economy: <http://www.globalexchange.org/campaigns/>

WTO/GATT: <http://www.gatt.org/>

Dubai v. Commies: <http://www.americanchronicle.com/articles/viewArticle.asp?articleID=6272>

Global Banking: <http://www.augustreview.com/index.php?module=pagesetter&func=viewpub&tid=4&pid=10>

Marines' new M32: http://www.blackfive.net/main/2006/03/marines_fight_w.html

Government Corruption: <http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,187715,00.html>

Mainstream Media out of touch with Mainstream: <http://www.gopusa.com/theloft/?p=238>

First Declassified Iraq Documents Released

<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2006/03/15/AR2006031502742.html>

<http://fmso.leavenworth.army.mil/products-docex.htm>

EPA Education Funds: <http://www.epa.gov/Region5/enved/pastmich.html>

<http://www.anti-marketing.com/anti-globalization.html>

Good audio take on Bilderberg

<http://www.bilderberg.org/bilderberg.mp3>

TV 6 Editorial Presented by John Korhonen <http://www.wluctv6.com/Global/story.asp?S=4614733>

Governor Granholm has pounded another nail in the coffin of Michigan cities and villages, specifically, the City of Ishpeming, with her proposed fiscal year 2006/2007 state budget. While funding increases are recommended for many other programs, cities and villages, like Ishpeming, will receive no increase in state funding.

In 2003 the state funded 1.3 million dollars, or 43% of Ishpeming's \$3 million General Fund budget. In 2004 state funding was reduced to 1-million dollars, or 36% of Ishpeming's General Fund budget. This was a 300-thousand dollar reduction. In 2005 Ishpeming received about the same as in 2004.

With over one-third of Ishpeming's General Fund budget

funded by the state, and no increase in state funding in 2006, Ishpeming will be faced with additional reductions in city services during the remainder of 2006 and into 2007.

To date Ishpeming has reduced its workforce from 65 to 44 employees, a reduction of 21 positions or 32 percent of the workforce over the past three years. Eleven of these 21 employee reductions have been made in our police, fire and ambulance departments.

Governor Granholm needs to reconsider her budget proposal and provide equitable funding for cities and villages, as she has done for other state funded programs. ~END~

Editor's Comments: Now doesn't that just beat all; we have all Democrats representing us in

Lansing and in many places like Ishpeming they have to lay off a bunch of union workers. Another example, Forsyth Township, the second largest township east of the Mississippi River, now has the primary responsibility for many of the services provided to a huge portion of KI Sawyer. They had to reduce the employee workweeks to four days and the area has been lacking sufficient police officers to do an effective job for years.

Prusi, Adamini, Stupak, and Granholm can talk all they want about what a great job the Dems have done for the UP, and Jack LaSalle can still demand union workers vote the straight-party ticket for Dems, but it is becoming more evident that the longer we put the interests of others ahead of our needs, the more we'll continue to suffer.

Granholm has laid blame for the reduction of Revenue Sharing at the feet of John Engler; but she has done nothing to rectify the shortfall. The PILT funds are too low, yet they're still delinquent.

Because of the lack of quality jobs in the UP, thanks to the Dems, the young have to go elsewhere to find work; our schools and churches are nearly void of children, and teachers, also union employees, have to suck it up and try to hold on as school budgets are reduced.

Every contract renewal comes with undertones that lay-offs are imminent. How can workers gain any sense of job security when they can't be sure they'll have a job in the next month or so? What happens? Workers need to find work away from the area, some place with a more stable economy and a better-run government. We bet most move to a red state.